

OVERVIEW

Factor		Points
1.	Project is Consistent with HUD and Local Priorities	15
2.	Project Performance Outcomes	36
3.	HMIS & Training	17
4.	Efficient Use of Funds	32
5.	Reallocation Bonus	+5
Total		100

Note: For the 2025 competition, the following projects will be reviewed for threshold in response to supplemental questions and placed at the top of Tier 2 at the discretion of the panelists:

- HMIS renewal projects, and
- Coordinated Entry renewal projects



THRESHOLD CRITERIA

(Required but not scored. If "no" for any threshold criteria, the project is ineligible.)

Factor	Points
Coordinated Entry Participation Project participates in coordinated entry to the extent possible for this project type.	N/A
2. HMIS Participation	
Project will enter data for all CoC-funded beds into HMIS. A project serving survivors of domestic violence is required to use a comparable database to HMIS.	N/A
3. Successful Drawdown	
Project, if operational, has made at least one successful drawdown of federal funds as of the time of this application.	N/A
4. Participant Eligibility	
The project will only accept participants that can be documented as eligible for this project's program type based on their housing and disability status.	N/A

Contra Costa Council

FY2025 COC COMPETITION SCORING TOOL - RENEWAL PROJECT

SCORING CRITERIA

All the scoring factors in this tool measure projects' contribution to improving Contra Costa CoC's System Performance by strengthening the overall system of care through data collection, coordination, prioritization, and increasing resources available to end homelessness in Contra Costa. Certain scoring factors relate to specific Performance Measures, as enumerated in each factor.

Discretion for Review and Rank Panelist - Outcomes for some factors may be naturally lower when serving a harder to serve population with severe needs and vulnerabilities such as persons experiencing chronic homelessness, mental illness, substance use disorders and/or domestic violence survivors. For certain factors, Review and Rank panelists may deviate (up or down) from a scaled score up to 25% of the max points within the factor based on the severity of barriers experienced by program participants and/or circumstances outside of an agency's control, as indicated by narrative provided by the agency. When exercising discretion, panelists must 1) follow the panelist discretion guidelines described in each factor, 2) not exceed the max point total for the factor, 3) document a reason for exercising discretion, and 4) apply discretion fairly and consistently across all projects.

1. ALIGNMENT WITH HUD AND NOFO PRIORITIES (5 PTS.)

Factor 1.A. Alignment with HUD and NOFO Priorities	Points
Projects can receive up to 5 points for aligning with current HUD and NOFO priorities:	5
 Non-CoC or ESG housing and/or healthcare funding accounts for at least 25% of units or services included in the project overall, demonstrated by the submission of written commitment letter(s). Majority of services are to be provided in an opportunity zone Substance use treatment will be available on-site Requiring participation in support services with some type of service agreement 	



2. PROJECT PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES

(36 PTS.)

Projects will be scored based on data in the CoC's HMIS, except for projects operated by victim services providers which will be scored based on data from a comparable database.

Factor 2.A. Exits to Homelessness ¹	Scale	
Panelist Discretion: up to 5 pt (25% of max), or can award 20 pts if no clients were in the program long enough for an annual assessment and no clients		Points
exited the program during period		
% of persons who exited to homeless temporary destinations (emergency shelter, transitional housing, place not meant for human habitation, or	≤2%	20
hotel/motel)	2.1-4%	18
 Project will provide an explanation if there were no persons who exited to homeless temporary destinations during this reporting 	4.1-6%	16
period.	6.1-8%	14
 When no persons exited to homeless temporary destination (emergency shelter, transitional housing, place not meant for human 	8.1-10%	12
habitation, or hotel/motel) during this reporting period, panelists may award 20 points with discretion.	>10%	0

Factor 2.B. Employment Income	Scale	
Panelist Discretion: up to 5 pt (25% of max), or can award 20 pts if no clients		Points
were in the program long enough for an annual assessment and no clients exited the program during period		
% of persons who exited with increased employment income or who has increased employment income at annual assessment.	≥ 80%	20
Project will provide an explanation if there were no persons who	70-79.9%	16
exited to homeless temporary destinations during this reporting period.	60-69.9%	12
When no persons exited to homeless temporary destination	50-59.9%	8
(emergency shelter, transitional housing, place not meant for human habitation, or hotel/motel) during this reporting period, panelists	40-49.9%	4
may award 20 points with discretion.	< 40%	0



Factor 2.C. Connecting to Non-Cash Mainstream Benefits ²	Scale	Points
Panelist Discretion: up to 2.5 pt (25% of max), or can award 10 pts if no		
clients were in the program long enough for an annual assessment and no		
clients exited the program during period		
% of adults who access at least one non-cash mainstream benefit based on	≥80%	10
last completed annual assessment for stayers and based on exit for leavers		
Project will provide an explanation for any leavers that exit in	65.70.00/	
less than 6 months. Panelists may use their discretion to award	65-79.9%	8
points based on a calculation that excludes leavers that exited		
in less than 6 months.	50-64.9%	6
When no clients were in the program long enough to be eligible		
for an annual assessment and no clients exited the program	<50%	0
during the reporting period, panelists will award 4 points.		

¹ HUD System Performance Measures 2, 3, 7

² HUD System Performance Measures 2, 7



Factor 2.D. Connecting to Health Insurance ³	Scale	Points
Panelist Discretion: up to 2.5 pts (25% of max), or can award 10 pts if no		
clients were in the program long enough for an annual assessment and no		
clients exited the program during period		
% of adults who access at health insurance benefits based on last	100%	10
completed annual assessment for stayers and based on exit for leavers		
Project will provide an explanation for any leavers that exit in	95-99.9%	8
less than 6 months. Panelists may use their discretion to award points based on a calculation that excludes leavers that exited in less than 6 months.	85-94.9%	6
 When no clients were in the program long enough to be eligible for an annual assessment and no clients exited the program 	60-84.9%	4
during the reporting period, panelists will award 6 points.	<60%	0

3. EFFICIENT USE OF FUNDS

(35 PTS.)

Factor 3.A. Utilization Rate ⁴	Scale	Points
Panelist Discretion: up to 5 points (25% of max)		
is designed to serve?	≥ 90%	20
	80-89.9%	15
utilization data on units for stayers and living-leavers who exit to a permanent housing destination.	70-79.9%	10
to a permanent nousing destination.	<70%	0

Factor 3.B. Unspent Grant Funds	Scale	Points
Panelist Discretion: up to 3.75 points (25% of max)		
Has the agency left project grant funds unspent in the past year?	<5%	15
 Consider if the program is running at capacity in the past year and if the project receives leasing or rental assistance funding. 	5.1 – 10%	11
	10.1 – 20%	7
	20.1 – 30%	3
	>30.1%	0

³ HUD System Performance Measures 2, 7

⁴ HUD System Performance Measures 1, 3



4. BONUS (5 PTS.)

Factor 4.A. Reallocation	Points
Did the project voluntarily reallocate at least 25% of its funding? Consider:	5
 How much funding was reallocated? What was the project type? Panelists will award up to 5 points if the project has voluntarily reallocated fund to a renewal project during this NOFO cycle. 	s