

Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

JJCPA FY25 Funded Programs Data Summary



April 2026

The ten JJCPA funded agencies (12 different services) reported serving a total of **2,717 duplicated youth** during FY25.

<u>Program</u>	<u>Service Area(s)</u>
<u>Bay Area Community Resources (BACR) – Prevention</u>	Education/Employment Support
<u>BACR – Reentry</u>	Reentry Case Management
<u>Bay Area Legal Aid (BALA)</u>	Civil Legal Aid
<u>Contra Costa County Office of Education (CCCOE) – Prevention</u>	Education/Employment Support
<u>CCCOE – YEIP</u>	Education/Employment Support
<u>Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY) – Prevention</u>	Education/Employment Support
<u>RYSE Center</u>	Reentry Case Management
<u>Richmond Police Activities League (RPAL)</u>	Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach
<u>Seneca Family of Agencies</u>	Reentry Case Management, Law Related Education
<u>STAND!’s Youth Education Support Services (YESS)</u>	Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach
<u>Youth Early Intervention Program (YEIP)</u>	Diversion, Civil Legal Aid
<u>1 Hundred Years Enterprise (1HYE)</u>	Coaching/Peer Support




Limitations & Considerations

- **Programs reported more information than is included in the report.** The results presented here focus on sharing the number and/or types of services that youth received in FY25.
- Since the data is not reported at the individual level, **some of the counts provided below may be under- or over-counted.**
- Despite improvements in data reporting (e.g., new data collection tools), **some data inconsistencies in the reporting of demographics, outputs, and outcomes still exist.** Therefore, all numbers reported should be considered an approximate representation of the number of youth served.
- Each program has been funded to provide a different service and, therefore, **it is important to avoid comparing outputs and outcomes across programs.**




Aggregate Self-Reported Gender Identity




Aggregate Self-Reported Race/Ethnicity

Figure 2. Self-Reported Race/Ethnicity (n = 859)




Self-Reported Age



Aggregate Referral Outcomes

Figure 4. Referral Outcomes Across All Programs (N = 1,027)



Individual Program Data

Programs were asked to report on:

- **Program Description** - The services offered and geographic focus.
- **Target Population** - Who the program serves.
- **Inputs** - What is invested (i.e., staff, curriculum, other resources).
- **Activities** - The activities and direct products.
- **Outputs** - Products and results of the activities (i.e., # or % of youth who receive an event or strategy).
- **Outcomes** - # or % of youth who complete or attain an intended short, intermediate, or long-term result.



Bay Area Community Resources (BACR) Reentry


Service Area: Reentry Case Management

Total reported youth served in FY25: 62

Program description & population served: Provides juvenile reentry services in East and Central Contra Costa County to youth who have been, or will soon be, released from custody.

BACR Reentry Outputs (n = 62)	% (n)
Youth who developed a reentry case plan	5% (3)
Youth who developed a community case plan	77% (48)
Youth who participated in group training classes	11% (7)
Youth who were taught skills relevant to education	58% (28)
Youth who were taught skills relevant to behavioral health	55% (34)
Youth who were placed in unsubsidized work experience	10% (6)
Youth who engaged in community activities	19% (12)

Figure 6. BACR Reentry Outcomes (n = 62)



RYSE CENTER

Service Area: Reentry Case Management

Total reported youth served in FY25: 79

Program description & population served: Provides juvenile reentry services in West Contra Costa County and serves up to 20-25 youth at any given time who have been, or will soon be, released from custody.

RYSE Outputs (n = 79)	% (n)
Internal Services	
Youth receiving counseling/therapy sessions	10% (8)
Youth receiving group supports	41% (32)
Youth receiving benefits/documentation applications	30% (24)
Youth receiving transportation	49% (39)
Youth receiving housing/shelter navigation	4% (3)
Youth receiving relocation services	3% (2)
Youth receiving educational or employment advocacy	27% (21)
Youth receiving direct financial aid/emergency funds	30% (24)
Youth receiving court accompaniment	58% (46)
Youth receiving arts and leadership programming	22% (17)
External Services	
Youth receiving legal referrals	5% (4)
Youth receiving housing/shelter referrals	3% (2)
Youth receiving educational or employment referrals	20% (16)
Youth receiving medical/mental health/substance use referrals	4% (3)

Figure 10. RYSE Outcomes (n = 79)





Figure 11. RYSE Outcomes (n = 79)




1HYE - 1Hundred Years Enterprise

Service Area: Coaching/Peer Support

Total reported youth served in FY25: 54

Program description & population served: Works with minors (11-17) and young adults (18-25) who are reintegrating into the community after completing the custodial portion of treatment programs offered through probation.

Table 13. 1Hundred Years Outputs (n = 54)	(n)
Individualized case plans developed	34
Total mentors trained	33
# of 1:1 community pathway mentorships provided	13
# of Life Skills to Avoid Early Death Decisions Trainings conducted	110
# of health, wellness & fitness coaching & trainings conducted	147
# of workforce development skills trainings	28
# of conflict resolution trainings conducted	90
# of transition meetings facilitated	62
Prosocial experience events held and men's groups conducted	34
Check-in meetings held with youth and parent/caregivers	22



RPAL - Richmond Police Activities League


Service Area: Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach

Total reported youth served in FY25: 410

Program description & population served: Work towards reducing juvenile delinquency and involvement in the justice system among youth ages 8-17 in West Contra Costa County.

Table 9. RPAL Outputs	(n)
Workshops offered	12
Hours of coaching and workshop facilitation	12
Qualified mentors trained and matched with youth	23
Total group sessions provided	80
Total group counseling sessions	90
Total individual mentoring sessions	302
Total community building events	28
Total community leadership projects	27
# of community partnerships	42
# of referrals to community organizations	302
# of community service hours completed	550

Figure 12. RPAL Outcomes (n = 410)



Youth Early Intervention Partnership (YEIP)


Service Area: Diversion, Civil Legal Aid

Total reported youth served in FY25: 257

Program description & population served: Provides holistic legal services for youth who are at risk of formal youth justice system involvement but, at the time of initial contact with the YEIP attorney, have not been charged with a crime.

Table 12. YEIP Outputs (n = 257)	% (n)
Youth provided legal counsel	100% (257)
Youth referred to client support specialist	53% (136)
Youth referred to YSS case management	36% (92)
Family action plans created	252
Follow-up engagements provided	183
Team coordination meetings held	85
Case-closing check-ins completed	31

Figure 15. YEIP Outcomes (n = 257)



Conclusion

- Each program was funded to provide different services and programs should not be compared concerning their outputs and outcomes.
- In total, the JJCPA funded programs reported serving 2,717 duplicate youth during FY25 (compared to 1,343 in FY24).
- The JJCPA funded programs provided a high number of services in a variety of categories as determined by the RFP process, and the outcomes were overwhelmingly positive.
- Data quality continues to improve each year.



Questions???

THANK YOU!

Contra Costa County Probation
Office of Reentry & Justice
contact: data.research@orj.cccounty.us

Integrity | Equity | Excellence



Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

**Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act
(JJCPA) Fiscal Year 2025 (FY25) Funded
Programs Data Summary**



Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

JJCPA FY25 Funded Programs Data Summary

This report was developed by RDA
Consulting under contract with the
Contra County Probation Department.
RDA Consulting, March 2026



Table of Contents

Overview	1
Service Overview	1
Limitations and Considerations	2
Aggregate Data Summary	4
Youth Demographic Data.....	4
Referral Outcomes.....	7
Individual Program Data Summary	8
Bay Area Community Resources (BACR) Prevention.....	9
Bay Area Community Resources (BACR) Reentry	11
Bay Area Legal Aid (BALA).....	13
Contra Costa County Office of Education (CCCOE) – Prevention.....	15
CCCOE - YEIP Referrals.....	16
Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY) – Prevention	17
RYSE Center	18
RPAL – Richmond Police Activities League.....	20
Seneca Family of Agencies.....	22
STAND!'s Youth Education Support Services (YESS)	24
Youth Early Intervention Partnership (YEIP).....	26
1 Hundred Years Enterprise	28
Final Thoughts.....	30



Overview

Service Overview

Using Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) dollars, the Contra Costa County Probation Department provides funding to numerous community-based providers to address prevention and intervention needs of justice-involved youth and youth at-risk of involvement in the juvenile legal system in Contra Costa County.

Through a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) process, each program is contracted to provide services based on their subject matter expertise. Providers deliver services to youth in a variety of settings including schools, community-based settings, and in detention. Some providers deliver curricula with distinct completion goals, and others provide on-going services and support with no “end-date.” The funded providers deliver services in the following service areas: 1) Civil Legal Aid; 2) Educational and/or Employment Support; 3) Case Management, Reentry Case Management, and/or Wrap Around Services; 4) Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach; 5) Diversion; 6) Law Related Education; and 7) Mentoring, Coaching, and Peer support.

Beginning in 2021, all the JJCPA funded programs were asked to participate in a process to develop logic models and identify data points to report to the Office of Reentry and Justice (ORJ). Technical assistance was provided to the programs to assist staff in the development of logic models and to ensure that corresponding quarterly reporting allowed the programs to track details about the services they provide to youth in the County and share that information with ORJ on a quarterly basis.

A logic model is a visual presentation of the shared relationships among the resources, activities, outputs, and outcomes (or the impact a program is intended to have). Logic models for the JJCPA funded programs included the following elements:

- Target Population – Who the program serves.
- Inputs – What is invested (i.e., staff, curriculum, other resources).
- Activities – The activities and direct products.
- Outputs – Products and results of the activities (i.e., number or percentage of youth who receive an event or strategy).
- Outcomes – Number or percentage of youth who complete or attain an intended short, intermediate or long-term result.

Example Logic Model Structure				
Target Population	Inputs	Activities	Outputs	Outcomes
Young adults ages 11-18 who have been impacted by the legal system	Trained therapist Youth case management system	Recruit youth Provide individual therapy a minimum of once a week	# youth enrolled # youth with attendance plans developed # of therapy sessions held	% of youth whose attendance improved % of youth on track grade advancement or graduation

In total for FY25, twelve community-based agencies were funded for fifteen different services/programs. Given the variety of services offered by the funded programs, the logic models and quarterly reporting data sheets were tailored to each specific program and their intended outcomes. However, in order to analyze consistent data points across all programs, the following data points were included on all quarterly reporting data sheets so that more uniform data could be reported in a summary format representing the totality of youth served (i.e., this Data Summary).

- **Referrals and Enrollments**
- **Referral Outcomes**
- **Demographic Data**

This document provides a summary of data from each of the ten JJCPA funded agencies (12 different programs/services) for youth served and services provided during FY25.

Limitations and Considerations

- Programs reported more information than is included in this document. The results presented here focus on sharing the number and/or types of services that youth received in FY25.
- Some of the funded programs served the same youth in multiple services or re-engaged youth in the same service multiple times. Since the data is not reported at the individual level, some of the counts provided below may be over-counted.
- Despite improvements in data reporting, over the past few years, some data inconsistencies in the reporting of youth demographics, outputs, and outcomes still exist. Therefore, all numbers reported should be considered an approximate representation of the number of youth served. For example, program enrollment

numbers may not match the number of youth recorded in the demographics section.

- Each program has been funded to provide a different service and, therefore, it is important not to compare outputs and outcomes across programs. Rather, the reader should focus on whether each program meets their own goals and contract requirements.

Aggregate Data Summary


The following section reports the aggregated demographic and referral data for all JJCPA funded agencies.

Youth Demographic Data

In total, the JJCPA funded programs reported serving 2,717 duplicate youth during FY25. In comparison to FY24 (N = 1,343), JJCPA funded programs served more than double the amount of duplicate youth in FY25.

Self-Reported Gender


All programs reported some gender identity; however, programs reported a gender identity for a smaller number of youth, $n = 937$, than they reportedly served, $N = 2,717$.¹ There are several reasons why this could have occurred (see *limitations above*). The programs reporting data served predominantly youth who identified as male and female, as seen in **Figure 1**. Youth that self-identified as male made up the largest percentage of youth served (60.8%) and youth who self-identified as female made up the second largest group (33.6%). Less than 1% of youth identified as transgender, gender non-conforming, two-spirit, or other. Similarly, less than 1% declined to state their gender.



¹ There is a discrepancy in reported gender versus reported youth serve due to a portion of programs that received funding not reporting the gender of youth served.

Self-Reported Race/Ethnicity

In **Figure 2**, a summary of the self-reported race/ethnicity of youth that participated in the services offered by the funded programs during FY25 is displayed. Similar to Figure 1, there is a discrepancy in the number of reported youth served across all programs and the number of youth whose race/ethnicity is reported. More than a third of the sample identified as Black/African American (37%), 42% as Hispanic/Latino/a/x, and 5% as White. Further, 4% of youth identified as Asian, 6% as multiracial, and 2% as Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander. About 1% each identified as Middle Eastern, American Indian or Alaska Native, while less than 1% did not report or know their race/ethnicity.²




Self-Reported Age

In **Figure 3**, a summary of the self-reported age of youth that participated in the services offered by the funded programs during FY25 is displayed. Again, there is a discrepancy in the number of reported youth served across all programs and the number of youth whose age is reported. Over half (69%) of the youth served across FY25 funded programs were reported to be 15 (n = 177, 19%), 16 (n = 233, 25%), and 17 years old (n = 231, 25%).


² The total number of youth who reported their race/ethnicity (n = 880) is an example of the data inconsistencies that result in uncertainty regarding the true known number of youth served.

Figure 3. Self-Reported Age Across All Programs (n = 930)



Referral Outcomes

Figure 4 below demonstrates the aggregated referral outcomes across all programs. The number of youth that enrolled in and subsequently received services from JJCPA funded programs was 88%, which is 14% higher than FY24 (74%). Approximately 12%, (n = 127) of youth were referred, but not enrolled in services. Finally, it is worth noting that approximately 141 youth were referred and enrolled but ceased to engage or voluntarily exited.



Individual Program Data Summary

The following provides individual program data, including outcome and output data, for all JJCPA funded programs. While outputs are tangible services, products, and participation that result from the program's activities, outcomes are the changes, benefits, or effects that result from program outputs.

JJCPA monies fund youth prevention and reentry services. The following list details the service area(s) for each program.

Juvenile Justice Programs	Service Area(s)
<u>Bay Area Community Resources (BACR) Prevention</u>	Education/Employment Support
<u>Bay Area Community Resources (BACR) Reentry</u>	Reentry Case Management
<u>Bay Area Legal Aid (BALA)</u>	Civil Legal Aid
<u>Contra Costa County Office of Education (CCCOE) – Prevention</u>	Education/Employment Support
<u>Contra Costa County Office of Education (CCCOE) – Youth Early Intervention Partnership (YEIP)</u>	Education/Employment Support
<u>Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY) – Prevention</u>	Education/Employment Support
<u>RYSE Center</u>	Reentry Case Management
<u>Richmond Police Activities League (RPAL)</u>	Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach
<u>Seneca Family of Agencies</u>	Reentry Case Management Law Related Education
<u>STAND!'s Youth Education Support Services (YESS)</u>	Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach
<u>Youth Early Intervention Program (YEIP)</u>	Diversion, Civil Legal Aid
<u>1Hundred Years Enterprise (1HYE)</u>	Coaching/Peer Support

Bay Area Community Resources (BACR) Prevention

Service Area: Education/Employment Support

Program description and population served: Provides academic and career planning services to youth at risk of engagement in violence/involvement in the justice system in East Contra Costa County.

Total youth reported served FY25: 41


Activities: 1) Provide skill-building opportunities; 2) Provide entrepreneurship training, coaching, and practice; 3) Provide opportunities for community engagement; 4) Connect youth to support services; 5) Provide opportunities for meaningful participation in youth development activities; and 6) Provide career and academic coaching, job readiness training, and life skills development.

Results: In a prevention capacity, BACR served a total of 41 youth in FY25. They reported a variety of outputs for the FY24-25 funding year as seen in **Table 1**. Additionally, BACR reported providing 110 academic and career coaching sessions and 69 group training classes.

Table 1. BACR Prevention Outputs (n = 41)	% (n)
Youth who developed a career and academic plan	88% (36)
Youth who participated in group training classes	90% (37)
Youth who were taught skills relevant to academics and careers	90% (37)
Youth who were taught skills relevant to social entrepreneurship	88% (36)
Youth who led a social entrepreneurship project	44% (18)
Youth who engaged in community activities	54% (22)

Additionally, youth that received services from BACR prevention experienced positive outcomes, as seen in **Figure 5**. Services helped youth improve their academic and career trajectories, impact their communities in a positive manner, and build interpersonal skills.

Figure 5. BACR Prevention Outcomes (n = 41)



Data Sources: The majority of the outcome data presented was collected via surveys, school records, and data tracking sheets.

Bay Area Community Resources (BACR) Reentry

Service Area: Reentry Case Management

Program description and population served: Provides juvenile reentry services to youth (ages 11-17) and TAY (ages 18-24) in East and Central Contra Costa County to youth who have been, or will soon be, released from custody.

Total youth reported served FY25: 62


Activities: 1) Develop reentry and community case plans; 2) Provide Case Management and family sessions; 3) Provide group training classes and skills training; 4) Provide career coaching and work experience placements; and 5) Engage youth in the East County Resource Coalition.

Results: BACR Reentry served 62 youth total. They reported a variety of outputs for the FY24-25 funding year as seen in **Table 2**. In addition to the services reported in Table 2, BACR also reported providing the 62 youth served by the program with 110 case management sessions, 54 career coaching sessions, 23 family sessions, and 8 group training classes.

Table 2. BACR Reentry Outputs (n = 62)	% (n)
Youth who developed a reentry case plan	5% (3)
Youth who developed a community case plan	77% (48)
Youth who participated in group training classes	11% (7)
Youth who were taught skills relevant to education	58% (28)
Youth who were taught skills relevant to behavioral health	55% (34)
Youth who were placed in unsubsidized work experience	10% (6)
Youth who engaged in community activities	19% (12)

Additionally, youth that received services from BACR experienced positive outcomes, as seen in **Figure 6**. Services helped youth gain increases in skill development and wellbeing in multiple domains such as building hope, self-sufficiency, and dealing with trauma.

Figure 6. BACR Reentry Outcomes (n = 62)



Data Sources: The majority of the outcome data presented was collected via surveys and program data tracking sheets.

Bay Area Legal Aid (BALA)

Service Area: Civil Legal Aid


Program description and population served: BALA provides free civil legal advocacy services for low-income eligible youth and TAY, ages 13-26 years old in Contra Costa County, who are at high risk for entering the juvenile justice system. BALA also provides outreach, training and technical assistance (TA) to community-based providers and partners related to civil legal services.

Total youth reported served FY25: 112

Activities: Provide civil legal advocacy in the following domains: 1) Juvenile & Family Law; 2) Housing; 3) Income Maintenance; 4) Health; 5) Individual Rights; 6) Education; and 7) Consumer Service.

Results: As can be seen in **Figure 7**, BALA has been providing a wide variety of services. Income maintenance and individual rights services were the most common with 33% and 24% of youth accessing these services, respectively.

BALA Program Outputs (n = 112)



Youth who received services through BALA saw positive outcomes as well. In total, 38 youth (10 youth 11-17 and 28 TAY) had 40 successful case closures and 20 additional cases that resulted in favorable outcomes (**Table 3**).

Table 3. BALA Program Outcomes (n = 112)	% (n)
Unique youth in extended representation who received favorable outcomes	18% (20)
Unique youth with successful case closures	34% (38)

Data Sources: Salesforce and program exit surveys.

Contra Costa County Office of Education (CCCOE) – Prevention

Service Area: Education/Employment Support

Program description and population served: In a prevention capacity, CCCOE provides one-on-one academic and employment support services for justice-involved youth, and youth at risk of involvement in the juvenile justice system, ages 11-17 years old that attend schools in Contra Costa County.

Total youth reported served FY25: 1,148³

Activities: 1) Provide wellness check-ins; 2) Provide reviews of attendance, progress reports, transcripts, and grades; 3) Consult with teachers, counselors, school personnel on post-secondary guidance; 4) Facilitate care coordination meetings with Probation & Public Defenders; 5) Conduct meetings with family (with or without school personnel); 6) Develop education plans; 7) Provide on-campus service coordination; 8) Provide work readiness meetings/workshops; 9) Refer youth to workforce programs for Work Experience (WEX); and 10) Provide community-based service coordination.

Results: The number of youth aged 11–17 who received academic support totaled 1,148 in FY25. This reflects CCCOE's strengthened commitment to providing one-on-one support. It also underscores their dedication to achieving the outcomes presented in **Table 4**.

Table 4. CCCOE Youth Aged 11-17 Outcomes (n = 1,148)		% (n)
Education		
Youth whose attendance improved		25% (287)
Students who maintained or improved GPA		22% (252)
On-campus services utilized		(480)
Youth whose referrals for behavioral issues decreased		10% (116)
Youth on track for graduation		11% (125)
Employment		
Resumes/applications completed		(39)
Interviews completed		(20)
Youth completing WEX		1% (17)
Youth engaged in work readiness activities		4% (48)
Youth obtained employment		1% (13)
Youth with connections to CBO network of support		1% (11)

Data Sources: The data source for all CCCOE programs was prevention and YEIP referrals tracking sheets.

³ Please note: It should be noted that it is possible that youth are duplicative youth represented here. Due to the high likelihood of duplicative youth represented in the data Table 4 does sum to more than 100%.

CCCOE - YEIP Referrals

Service Area: Education/Employment Support

Program description and population served: Provides one-on-one academic and employment support services for at-risk and justice-involved youth ages 11-18 who are referred by YEIP that are attending school in the County.⁴

Total youth reported served FY25: 102⁵

Activities: 1) Provide wellness check-ins; 2) Provide reviews of school records; 3) Consult with school personnel on teams, post-secondary guidance; 4) Facilitate care coordination meetings with Probation & Public Defenders; 5) Conduct meetings with family; 6) Develop education plans; 7) Provide on-campus service coordination; 8) Provide work readiness meetings/workshops; 9) Refer youth to workforce programs for WEX; and 10) Provide community-based service coordination.

Results: In FY25 CCCOE reported that 102 youth engaged in YEIP services. Reported outcomes for youth who participated can be seen in **Table 6**.

Table 6. CCCOE Youth YEIP Outcomes (n = 102)		% (n)
Education		
Youth whose attendance improved		56% (57)
Students who maintained or improved GPA		23% (23)
On-campus services utilized		(119)
Youth whose referrals for behavioral issues decreased		29% (30)
Youth on track for graduation		10% (10)
Employment		
Resumes/applications completed		(4)
Interviews completed		(1)
Community based services utilized		(2)
Youth completing WEX		2% (2)
Youth engaged in work readiness activities		5% (5)
Youth who obtained employment		3% (3)
Youth with connections to CBO network of support		2% (2)

Data Sources: The data source for all CCCOE programs was reentry and diversion, TAY, and YEIP referrals tracking sheets.

⁴ The CCCOE is a unique agency that provides a wide range of services to the most vulnerable youth including those who are incarcerated, unhoused or in foster care, as well as students who have severe physical or emotional challenges. These services include fiscal, technology, and communication support for schools and school districts in Contra Costa County. To learn more about their services, visit their website at <https://www.cccoe.k12.ca.us/>.

⁵ Please note: Youth were eligible to engage in multiple on campus services during the course of the school year.

Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY) – Prevention

Service Area: Education/Employment Support


Program description and population served: Provides one-on-one case management services focusing on education and employment guidance, resources, and connections for youth ages 11-18.

Total youth reported served FY25: 38

Activities: 1) Hire a career pathways navigator (CPN) for CCC; 2) Provide career pathway support; 3) Provide career pathway navigation workshops; and 4) Provide opportunities for network building.

Results: FLY reported serving a total of 38 youth for prevention services between the ages of 11-18 in its' first year as a newly contracted vendor for FY25. Services were delayed in the first quarter as the program completed the hiring of a career pathways navigator (CPN). As a result, no youth were served during the first quarter, contributing to fewer youth being served for the year. As seen in **Figure 8**, FLY prevention still managed to support youth in a variety of different ways leading to several positive outcomes.

Figure 8. FLY Prevention Outcomes



Data Sources: Career and education closure forms, internal program database, CPN request forms, and CPN workshop surveys.

RYSE Center

Service Area: Reentry Case Management

Program description and population served: Provides Juvenile Reentry Services to youth ages 11-18 in West Contra Costa County.

Total youth reported served FY25: 79


Activities: 1) Creation of Let's Get Free (LGF) plan goals; 2) Plan, support check-in and reentry meetings; 3) Individual Counseling/therapy & Groups; and 4) Referrals to needed services.

Results: A total of 79 youth received services from RYSE in FY25. RYSE provided additional data regarding youth experiences in the program and program outcomes, allowing for a more robust data summary. RYSE reported completing over 800 planning, support, and check-in meetings with participants in FY25. As shown in **Table 8**, RYSE offers many internal services. Of those services, the most utilized by youth were court accompaniment 46(58%), transportation 39(49%), and group support 32(41%). Additionally, **Table 8** shows the external services offered and utilized by youth in the RYSE program.

Table 8. RYSE Outputs (n = 79)	% (n)
Internal Services	
Youth receiving counseling/therapy sessions	10% (8)
Youth receiving group supports	41% (32)
Youth receiving benefits/documentation applications	30% (24)
Youth receiving transportation	49% (39)
Youth receiving housing/shelter navigation	4% (3)
Youth receiving relocation services	3% (2)
Youth receiving educational or employment advocacy	27% (21)
Youth receiving direct financial aid/emergency funds	30% (24)
Youth receiving court accompaniment	58% (46)
Youth receiving arts and leadership programming	22% (17)
External Services	
Youth receiving legal referrals	5% (4)
Youth receiving housing/shelter referrals	3% (2)
Youth receiving educational or employment referrals	20% (16)
Youth receiving medical/mental health/substance use referrals	4% (3)


RYSE also reported participant outcomes, shown in **Figure 10**. Notably, over 50 youth reported increased youth-adult relationships 61(77%), increased and/or strong sense of civic engagement 58(73%), increased positive peer relationships 57(72%), mental health and wellness benefits 55(70%), and making progress on LGF plan goals 55(70%).

Figure 10. RYSE Outcomes (n =79)



Finally, **Figure 11** reports recidivism rates for RYSE youth using data provided by probation. Of the 79 youth who were served by the RYSE program, only 5% (4) of youth were reported to have recidivated while in the program.

Figure 11. RYSE Outcomes (n = 79)



Data Sources: Youth client files and probation recidivism data.

RPAL – Richmond Police Activities League

Service Area: Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach

Program description and population served: Works towards reducing juvenile delinquency and involvement in the justice system among youth ages 8-17 in West Contra Costa County.


Total youth reported served FY25: 410

Activities: 1) Daily programming during school days and school breaks; 2) Mentoring groups and workshops; 3) Enrichment through sports and recreation, Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Math (STEAM) programming, and other activities; 4) Life skill development; (5) Community events; (6) Evaluation activities; and (7) Care coordination.

Results: As a newly contracted program in FY25, RPAL served 410 youth. **Table 9** displays the data for RPALs reported outputs in FY25. Most notably, RPAL offered over 300 individual mentoring sessions and made over 300 referrals to community organizations. Further, RPAL participants completed over 500 hours of community service in FY25. Additionally, the program reported on a wide variety of outcome measures which can be seen in **Figure 12**. Of the 410 youth served, 296 reported improved outcomes as a result of the program.

Table 9. RPAL Outputs	(n)
West County Youth Diversion & Development (WCYDD) Workshops offered	12
Hours of coaching and workshop facilitation	12
Qualified mentors trained and matched with youth	23
Total group sessions provided	80
Total group counseling sessions	90
Total individual mentoring sessions	302
Total community building events	28
Total community leadership projects	27
# of community partnerships	42
# of referrals to community organizations	302
# of community service hours completed	550

Figure 12. RPAL Outcomes (n = 410)



Data Sources: Data sources were not reported.

Seneca Family of Agencies

Service Area: Wraparound Supports for High-Need Youth & Families

Program description and population served: Provide services for youth and their families under the age of 18 who have been involved in the juvenile probation system, are stepping down to a less restrictive setting, or are at risk of placement in a residential setting.


Total youth reported served FY25: 21

Activities: 1) Provide youth with Legal Counsel; 2) Refer youth to Youth Support Specialist for Case Management; 3) Refer youth to external services; 4) Create Family Action Plans; 6) Provide follow-up engagements; and 7) Conduct team coordination meetings.

Results: In FY25, Seneca served a total of 21 youth. While Seneca serves a smaller number of youth than other programs, the intensity of these services are notable. **Table 10** displays the outputs Seneca reported for FY25. Notably, they provided 333 hours of rehabilitation hours to youth and families throughout the year, an average of nearly 16 hours per youth. Additionally, each youth received an average of nearly eight hours of case management hours to coordinate services provided to families.

Table 10. Seneca - Probation Wraparound Outputs	(n)
Comprehensive Assessments completed	5
Total Child and Family Team meetings provided	31
Total safety plans completed	10
Individuals in support network at intake	40
24/7 wrap support line stabilization encounters provided	0
Comprehensive wrap fidelity care plans created	22
Wrap fidelity indices (WFI) completed	4

Seneca also reported on youth outcomes. In **Figure 13**, self-reported youth outcomes from the Child and Adolescent, Needs and Strengths (CANS) assessment, the Wraparound Fidelity Index – Short Form (WFI-EZ), and an exit survey are shown. In particular, Seneca provided information about the youth level of care, specifically whether youth had the same or a lower level of care needed at exit. These results indicate Seneca's dedication to providing more robust data summaries.



Data Sources: CANS, WFI-EZ, discharge summaries, wrap action plans and connection logs.

STAND!'s Youth Education Support Services (YESS)

Service Area: Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach

Program description and population served: STAND is a prevention and intervention program focused on providing services to youth in West Contra Costa County. STAND offers services to decrease and prevent teen violence, promote safe and strong relationships, build conflict resolution and leadership skills, and develop a shared understanding of healthy relationships. JJPCA funds are used to continue and expand STAND education, prevention and early intervention services that support middle school and high school students with navigating healthy relationships.


Total youth reported served FY24: 393

Activities: 1) Provide "You Never Win with Violence" (YNWWV) workshops; 2) Provide gender specific psychoeducational support groups; 3) Provide youth against violence leadership program; 4) Administer youth surveys related to workshops/groups; 5) Administer teacher surveys related to workshops/groups; 6) Provide individual student interventions; and 7) Provide teacher trainings.

Results: In FY25, STAND! served 393 in FY25. **Table 11** includes a detailed list of additional program outcomes.

Table 11. STAND! Program Outcomes (n = 393)		% (n)
YNWWV School-Based Workshops		
# of students served		43% (169)
# of schools served		2
# of workshops offered		8
Gender Specific Psychoeducational Support Groups		
# of student served		65% (254)
# of schools served		10
# of support groups provided		104
Youth Against Violence Leadership Program		
# of students who participated		12% (49)
# of hours volunteered		136
# of youth provided transportation		49
# of outings provided		9
Individual Student Interventions		
# of youth served		12% (47)
# of schools served		15
# of interventions provided		41

Additionally, STAND! included outcomes for their Community Outreach, Awareness and Referral Services in their data summary which are reported in **Figure 14**. Notably, the number of schools served (9) and events held (12) were consistent from FY24 to FY25. Furthermore, it should be noted that 132 youth signed up for at least one STAND! service.



Data Sources: Data sources were not reported.

Youth Early Intervention Partnership (YEIP)

Service Area: Diversion

Program description and population served: The YEIP team provides holistic legal services for youth who are at risk of formal youth justice system involvement but, at the time of initial contact with the YEIP attorney, have not been charged with a crime.

Total youth reported served FY25: 257


Activities: 1) Refer youth to Client Support Specialist; 2) Refer youth to Youth Support Services (YSS) Case Management; 3) Provide case closing check-ins; 4) Provide follow-up engagements; and 5) Conduct team coordination meetings

Results: In FY25, YEIP provided services to over 250 youth. As seen in **Table 12**, all youth were provided legal counsel, and the majority of youth were referred to client support specialists (53%).

Table 12. YEIP Outputs (n = 257)	% (n)
Youth provided legal counsel	100% (257)
Youth referred to client support specialist	53% (136)
Youth referred to YSS case management	36% (92)
Family action plans created	252
Follow-up engagements provided	183
Team coordination meetings held	85
Case-closing check-ins completed	31

Figure 15 provides a look at how well youth are doing in the YEIP program. Encouragingly, around 90% of youth reduced or eliminated their concerning behavior. Further, nearly 90% of youth reported developing a community-based network of support, developing coping skills that reduce future risk, and improving the relationships between youth and their caregivers. Finally, 91% of youth reported being on track to graduate or had successfully achieved graduation.

Figure 15. YEIP Outcomes (n = 257)



Data Sources: Pre/post surveys.

1 Hundred Years Enterprise

Service Area: Coaching/Peer Support


Program description and population served: Works with youth and TAY who are reintegrating into the community after completing the custodial portion of treatment programs offered through probation.

Total youth reported served FY25: 54

Activities: 1) Develop individualized case plans; 2) Track achievements and progress with goals; 3) Provide community pathway mentorship; 4) Conduct life skill trainings; 5) Provide health, wellness, and fitness coaching; 6) Provide workforce skills training; 7) Hold pro-social activities; 8) Facilitate referrals and follow-up care when needed; and 9) Provide ongoing monitoring of all youth in the program.

Results: As a new contracted provider in FY25, 1 Hundred Years Enterprise reported serving 54 youth. The program reported a number of outputs, all of which can be seen in **Table 13**. Notably, between life skills and health, wellness, and fitness, 1 Hundred Years held over 375 trainings. Additionally, 1 Hundred Years reported several positive outcomes, which can be seen in **Figure 16**.

Table 13. 1 Hundred Years Outputs (n = 54)	(n)
Individualized case plans developed	34
Total mentors trained	33
# of 1:1 community pathway mentorships provided	13
# of Life Skills to Avoid Early Death Decisions Trainings conducted	110
# of health, wellness & fitness coaching & trainings conducted	147
# of workforce development skills trainings	28
# of conflict resolution trainings conducted	90
# of transition meetings facilitated	62
Prosocial experience events held and men's groups conducted	34
Check-in meetings held with youth and parent/caregivers	22



Data Sources: Individualized case plans, mentorship reports, youth records, and youth self-report data.

Final Thoughts

The JJCPA funded programs provided a variety of services in the following categories:

- Civil Legal Aid
- Education/Employment Support
- Reentry Case Management, Case Management, and/or Wraparound Support
- Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach
- Diversion
- Law Related Education
- Coaching/Peer support

Each program has been funded to provide a different service and, therefore, it is important not to compare outputs and outcomes across programs. Rather, this report demonstrates the unique aspects of each program. Notably, the newly contracted programs for FY25 served more youth than the previous year (more than double) and all programs reported positive outcomes, adding to the success of JJCPA funded programs overall. Lastly, data reporting improvements continue, and data quality is improving each year.