Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

JJCPA/YOBG FY24 Funded Programs Data Summary

Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council

JJCPA/YOBG FY24 Funded Programs Data Summary

This report was developed by RDA Consulting under contract with the Contra County Probation Department.
RDA Consulting, June 2025

Overview

Service Overview

Using Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) and Youth Offender Block Grant (YOBG) dollars, the Contra Costa County Probation Department provides funding to numerous community-based providers to address prevention and intervention needs of justice-involved youth and youth at-risk of involvement in the juvenile legal system in Contra Costa County.

Through a competitive RFP process, each program is contracted to provide services based on their subject matter expertise. Providers deliver services to youth in a variety of settings including schools, community-based settings, and in detention. Some providers deliver curricula with distinct completion goals, and others provide on-going services and support with no "end-date." The funded providers deliver services in the following areas: 1) Civil legal aid; 2) Educational support; 3) Reentry case management; 4) Violence prevention, education, and outreach; 5) Diversion programming; 6) Substance use services; 7) Law related education; and 8) Coaching/Peer support.

Beginning in 2021, all the JJCPA/YOBG funded programs were asked to participate in a process to develop logic models and identify data points to report to the Office of Reentry and Justice (ORJ). Technical assistance was provided to the programs to assist staff in the development of logic models and to ensure that corresponding quarterly reporting allowed the programs to track details about the services they provide to youth in the County and share that information with ORJ on a quarterly basis.

A logic model is a visual presentation of the shared relationships among the resources, activities, outputs, outcomes (or the impact a program is intended to have). Logic models for the JJCPA/YOBG funded programs included the following elements:

- **Target Population** – Who the program serves.
- **Inputs** – What is invested (i.e., staff, curriculum, other resources).
- **Activities** – The activities and direct products.
- **Outputs** – Products and results of the activities (i.e., # or % of youth who receive an event or strategy).
- **Outcomes** – Number or percentage of youth who complete or attain an intended short, intermediate or long-term result.

Example Logic Model Structure				
Target Population	**Inputs**	**Activities**	**Outputs**	**Outcomes**
Young adults ages 11-18 who have been impacted by the legal system	Trained therapist Youth case management system	Recruit youth Provide individual therapy a minimum of once a week	# youth enrolled # youth with attendance plans developed # of therapy sessions held	% of Youth whose attendance Improved % of Youth on track grade advancement or graduation

Given the variety of services offered by the funded programs, the logic models and quarterly reporting data sheets were tailored to each specific program and their intended outcomes. However, in order to analyze consistent data points across all programs, the following data points were included on all quarterly reporting data sheets so that more uniform data could be reported in a summary format representing the totality of youth served (i.e., this Data Summary).

- **Referrals and Enrollments**

- **Referral Outcomes**

- **Demographic Data**

This document provides a summary of data from each JJCPA/YOBG funded program for youth served and services provided during FY24.

Limitations and Considerations

- Programs reported more information than is included in this document. The results presented here focus on sharing the number and/or types of services that youth received in FY24.

- Several funded programs modified data sheets. Therefore, RDA is not able to complete all the analyses anticipated. For example, while set categories were provided to measure age consistently, there was significant variability in how age was reported, whether it be by age range, a single age for all participants, or no ages reported at all. This issue has been addressed in quarterly reporting sheets for FY25.

- Some of the funded programs served the same youth in multiple services or re-engaged youth in the same service multiple times. Since the data is not reported at the individual level, RDA cannot determine youth duplication. As such, some of the counts provided below may be under- or over-counted.

- Despite improvements in data reporting, some data inconsistencies in the reporting of youth demographics, outputs, and outcomes still exist. Therefore, all numbers reported should be considered an approximate representation of the number of youth served. For example, program enrollment numbers may not match the number of youth recorded in the demographics section.

- Each program has been funded to provide a different service and, therefore, it is important not to compare outputs and outcomes across programs. Rather, the reader should focus on whether each program meets their own goals and contract requirements.

- Providers were not asked to report on the program or service capacity or wait-list. This issue has been addressed in quarterly reporting sheets for FY25.

Aggregate Data Summary

The following section reports the aggregated demographic and referral data for all eight JJCPA/YOBG funded programs.

Youth Demographic Data

In total, the eight JJCPA funded programs reported serving a total of 1,343 duplicated youth during FY24.

Self-Reported Gender

All eight programs reported gender identity; however, programs reported a gender identity for a greater number of youth, $n = 1,492$, than they reportedly served, $n = 1,343$. There are several reasons why this could have happened. The gender identity of youth referred, not just youth enrolled, to the program could have been included, youth carried over from the previous year could have been included, etc. The eight programs reporting data served predominantly youth who identified as male and female, as seen in **Figure 1**.Youth that self-identified as male made up the largest percentage of youth served (63%) and youth who self-identified as female made up the second largest group (33%).Approximately 1% of youth identified as transgender, gender non-conforming, or two-spirit, 1% identified as other, and 2% declined to state their gender.

Figure 1. Self-Reported Gender Identity ($n = 1,453$)

Legend:
- Female
- Male
- Transgender/Gender Non-Conforming/Two-Spirit
- Other
- Unknown
- Declined to State

Self-Reported Race/Ethnicity

In **Figure 2**, a summary of the self-reported race/ethnicity of youth that participated in the services offered by the eight funded programs during FY24 is displayed. Like Figure 1, there is a discrepancy in the number of reported youth served across all eight programs and the number of youth whose race/ethnicity is reported, albeit the discrepancy is much smaller. More than a third of the sample identified as Black/African American (38%), 24% as Hispanic/Latino/a/x, and 11% as White. Further, 6% of youth identified as Asian, 5% as multiracial, and 2% as Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander. Few youth, less than 1% each, identified as American Indian/Alaska Native, or did not report or know their race/ethnicity.[1]

Figure 2. Self-Reported Race/Ethnicity (*n* = 1,259)

- Unknown
- American Indian or Alaskan Native
- Declined to State
- Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
- Asian
- Multiracial
- Other
- White
- Black/African American
- Hispanic/Latino/a/x

---

[1] The total number of youth who reported their race/ethnicity (*n* = 1,259) is an example of the data inconsistencies that result in uncertainty regarding the true known number of youth served.

Referral Outcomes

Figure 3 below demonstrates the aggregated referral outcomes across all eight programs. It should be noted that the successful completion rate for the JJCPA/YOBG funded programs was 74%, which represents a very high completion rate. Approximately 9%, (n = 75) youth were not enrolled in services because the programs were not able to reach them, highlighting the need for referral sources to include multiple contact methods. Finally, it is important to note that a smaller number of known youth outcomes is to be expected as programs will have had youth who carried over into the following fiscal year.

Figure 3. Referral Outcomes Across All Programs (*n* = 859)

Legend:
- ■ Youth who Received Services
- ■ Unsuccessful Exits
- ■ Unable to Contact Youth
- ■ Youth Ineligible

Pie chart values: 123 (14%), 75 (9%), 26 (3%), 635 (74%)

Individual Program Data Summary

The following pages contain individual program data for all eight JJCPA/YOBG funded programs. Each program reported both outcomes and outputs. While outputs are tangible services, products, and participation that result from the program's activities, outcomes are the changes, benefits, or effects that result from program outputs. It is important to note that not every program had outcomes to report, which is normal for programs that are new or who have had very few participants complete the program. The following list details the service area(s) for each program.

Program	Service Area(s)
Bay Area Community Resources (BACR)	Reentry case management Coaching/Peer support
Bay Area Legal Aid (BALA)	Civil legal aid Law-related Education
Contra Costa County Office of Education (CCCOE) - All Programs	Educational support Coaching/Peer support
Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY) – All Programs	Reentry case management Coaching/Peer support Law Related Education
RYSE Center	Reentry case management Coaching/Peer support
Seneca Family of Agencies	Reentry case management Law related education
STAND!'s Youth Education Support Services (YESS)	Violence prevention, education, and outreach
Youth Early Intervention Program (YEIP)	Diversion programming

Bay Area Community Resources (BACR)

Service Area: Juvenile Reentry Services & Case Management

Program description and population served: Provides Juvenile Reentry services in East and Central Contra Costa County to youth who have been, or will soon be, released from custody.

Total youth reported served FY24: 63 youth

Activities: 1) Develop reentry and community case plans; 2) Provide Case Management and family sessions; 3) Provide group training classes and skills training; 4) Provide career coaching and work experience placements; and 5) Engage youth in the East County Resource Coalition.

Results: BACR served 63 youth total, a 41% increase from FY23. They reported a variety of outputs for the FY23-24 funding year as seen in **Table 1**. In addition to the services reported in Table 1, BACR also reported providing the 63 youth enrolled in the program with 516 case management sessions and 482 career coaching sessions.

Table 1. BACR Program Output	n = 63
Youth who Develop Reentry Case Plan	14
Youth who Develop Community Case Plan	58
Group Training Classes Held	8
Youth Served in Group Training Classes	3
Family Sessions Held	57
Youths Attending ECRC Weekly Sessions	6
Skills Taught	7
Youth Placed in Work Experience (Unsubsidized)	27

Additionally, youth that received services from BACR experienced positive outcomes, as seen in **Figure 4**. Services helped youth gain increases in skill development and wellbeing in multiple domains such as building hope, self-sufficiency, and dealing with trauma.[2]

Figure 4. BACR Program Outcomes (n = 63)

Outcome	Value
Youth who Build Hope	63
Youth who become Economically Self-Sufficient	27
Youth who Contribute to their Community	6
Youth who Learn how to Cope with Trauma	63
Youth who are Open to Learn	63
Youth who Learn Skills	63
Youth who are Empowered to Advocate for Themselves	63
Youth who Develop Growth Mindset Focus	63

---

[2] Though it is likely that these outcomes were collected using a participant survey, the exact data collection method is unknown. Future reports will reflect clarification surrounding data collection methods.

Bay Area Legal Aid (BALA)

Service Area: Civil Legal Advocacy

Program description and population served: BALA provides free civil legal advocacy services for low-income eligible youth, ages 13-26 years old in Contra Costa County, who are at high risk for entering the juvenile justice system. BALA also provides outreach, training and technical assistance (TA) to community-based providers and partners related to civil legal services.

Total youth reported served FY24: 24 youth

Activities: Provide civil legal advocacy in the following domains: 1) Juvenile & Family Law; 2) Housing; 3) Income Maintenance; 4) Health; 5) Individual Rights; 6) Education; and 7) Consumer Service.

Results: As can be seen in **Figure 5**, BALA has been providing outreach, training, and TA and did this a total of 51 times. Income Maintenance and was the most commonly provided TA with 41 instances and Juvenile & Family law TA was provided 29 times.

In addition to providing partners with TA, BALA has been directly supporting youth and families with legal services. In total, 34 youth and/or their families were served in this capacity with 24 successful cases closed and ten additional cases that resulted in favorable outcomes (**Figure 6**).[3]


Figure 5. BALA Partner Support Outputs

Figure 6. BALA Program Outcomes

---

[3] It should be noted that the cases represented in Figure 5 and Figure 6 are a combination of youth enrolled in and rolled over from FY23 and youth newly referred in FY24. Data reporting did allow for further refinement.

Contra Costa County Office of Education (CCCOE)

Service Area: Education-based Case Management and Employment and Career Exploration Support

Program description and population served: Provides one-on-one academic and employment support services for justice-involved youth, and youth at risk of involvement in the juvenile justice system, ages 14-17 years old that attend schools in Contra Costa County.

Total youth reported served FY24: 468 youth

Activities: 1) Provide wellness check-ins; 2) Provide reviews of attendance, progress reports, transcripts, and grades; 3) Consult with teachers, counselors, school personnel on post-secondary guidance; 4) Facilitate care coordination meetings with Probation & Public Defenders; 5) Conduct meetings with family (with or without school personnel); 6) Develop education plans; 7) Provide on-campus service coordination; 8) Provide work readiness meetings/workshops; 9) Referral youth to workforce programs for Work Experience (WEX); and 10) Provide community-based service coordination.

Results: The number of youth aged 14–17 who received academic support increased from FY23 at 330 to 468 in FY24, representing a 24% increase in the number of youth served. This increase reflects CCCOE's strengthened commitment to providing one-on-one support. It also underscores their dedication to achieving the outcomes presented in **Table 4**.

Table 4. CCCOE Youth Aged 14-17 Outcomes	n = 468
EDUCATION	
Youth whose Attendance Improved	328
Students who Maintained or Improved GPA	347
On-Campus Services Utilized	475
Youth whose Referrals Re: Behavioral Issues Decreased	68
Youth on Track for Graduation	109
EMPLOYMENT	
Resumes/Applications Completed	50
Interviews Completed	8
Youth Completing WEX	17
Community Based Services Utilized	8
Youth Engaging in Work Readiness Activities	12
Youth who Obtained Employment	9
Youth with Connections to CBO Network of Support	2

Contra Costa County Office of Education (CCCOE)-Youth Early Intervention Program (YEIP) Referrals

Service Area: Education-based Case Management and Employment and Career Exploration Support for the YEIP program

Program description and population served: Provides one-on-one academic and employment support services for at-risk and justice-involved youth *ages* 14 – 24 who are *referred by YEIP* that are attending school in the County.[4]

Total youth reported served FY24: 28 youth

Activities: 1) Provide wellness check-ins; 2) Provide reviews of attendance, progress reports, transcripts, and grades; 3) Consult with teachers, counselors, and school personnel on teams, post-secondary guidance; 4) Facilitate care coordination meetings with Probation & Public Defenders; 5) Conduct meetings with family (with or without school personnel); 6) Develop education plans; 7) Provide on-campus service coordination; 8) Provide work readiness meetings/workshops; 9) Referral youth to workforce programs for WEX; and 10) Provide community-based service coordination.

Results: In FY24, CCCOE saw a decrease in the number of youth provided services referred to through YEIP referrals, 28 in total, down from 71 in FY23). Reported outcomes for youth who participated can be seen in **Table 2**. For the 28 youth served, they received a total of 638 services for an average of almost 23 (22.8) services per enrolled youth.

Table 2. CCCOE YEIP Outputs	n = 28
EDUCATION	
Wellness Check-Ins	146
Reviews of Attendance, Progress Reports, and Grades	65
Transcript Reviews	18
Consultations with Teachers, Counselors, VPs, CARE/COST Teams, and/or Post Secondary Guidance	64
Education Plans Developed	36
Meetings with Family with or without School Personnel	52
On-Campus Service Coordination	22
Other	157
EMPLOYMENT	
Unique Youth who Received Employment Services	29
Work-Readiness Meetings/Workshops	15
Referrals to Youth Services (YS) Workforce Programs for WEX	19
Community-Based Services Coordination Provided	1
CAREER EXPLORATION	
Unique Youth who Received Career Exploration Services	7
Career Aptitude, Career Skills, & Vocational Interest Inventories Completed	7

---

[4] The CCCOE is a unique agency that provides a wide range of services to the most vulnerable youth including those who are incarcerated, unhoused or in foster care, as well as students who have severe physical or emotional challenges. These services include fiscal, technology, and communication support for schools and school districts in Contra Costa County. To learn more about their services, visit their website at https://www.cccoe.k12.ca.us/.

Contra Costa County Office of Education (CCCOE) – Transitional Age Youth (TAY) Referrals

Service Area: Education-based Case Management and Employment and Career Exploration Support for the TAY program

Program description and population served: Provides one-on-one academic and employment support services for at-risk and justice-involved youth *ages 18 – 24 who are referred by Probation and TAY Service Network* that are attending school in the County.

Total youth reported served FY24: 112 youth

Activities: 1) Provide wellness check-ins; 2) Provide reviews of attendance, progress reports, transcripts, and grades; 3) Consult with teachers, counselors, and school personnel on post-secondary guidance; 4) Facilitate care coordination meetings with Probation & Public Defenders; 5) Conduct meetings with family (with or without school personnel); 6) Develop education plans; 7) Provide on-campus service coordination; 8) Provide work readiness meetings/workshops; 9) Referral youth to workforce programs for WEX; and 10) Provide community-based service coordination.

Results:

Like referral from YEIP, CCCOE saw a decrease in the number of youth provided services through TAY referrals, 112, down from 202 in FY23. Reported outcomes for youth who participated can be seen in **Table 3**. For the 112-youth served, they received a total of 312 services for an average of almost 3 (2.8) services per enrolled youth.

Table 3. CCCOE TAY Outcomes	*n* = 112
EDUCATION	
TAY Provided Academic Assistance toward Enrollment and/or Graduation	109
TAY Provided Support for Enrollment in Secondary or Post Secondary Education	48
TAY Enrolled in Secondary or Post-Secondary Education	31
TAY who Graduate or Complete Requirements, Equivalencies	3
EMPLOYMENT	
TAY Engaged in Work Readiness Activities	58
Resumes/Applications Completed	36
Interviews Completed	13
Youth Completing WEX	14

Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY) – Transitional Age Youth (TAY)

**Service Area:** Juvenile Reentry Services & Case Management

Program description and population served: Provides one-on-one case management supporting the development of social-emotional learning (SEL) skills, increasing positive community support, and advancing knowledge of the law for transitional age youth (TAY), ages 18-25.

Total youth reported served FY24: 60 youth

Activities: 1) Provide law-related education (LRE) workshops; 2) Provide one-on-one goal coaching; 3) Provide case management and reentry sessions; and 4) Provide prosocial activities (e.g., laser tag).

Results: As a newly contracted vendor in FY24, FLY had two goals, to support the TAY network and to support youth directly. As seen in **Figure 7**, FLY supported youth with goal coaching and community-centered events. FLY saw a high number of youth completing multiple workshops and attending multiple events, resulting in an impressive retention rate. To support the network directly, FLY helped coordinate meetings and communications. In total, 17 organizations in the TAY network participated in 15 meetings and 32 coordinated communications were shared.

Figure 7. FLY– TAY Program Outcomes (n = 60)

STAY Fresh Lifelines for Youth (STAY FLY)

Service Area: Law Education and Case Management

Program description and population served: Combines law related education, case management/coaching, prosocial events/civic engagement and systems and community collaboration, to for Transitional Age Youth (TAY).

Total youth reported served FY 23-24: 135 youth

Activities: 1) Provide case management and coaching to TAY, 2) Develop individualized case plan with TAY, 3) Offer prosocial events, 4) Encourage TAY to participate in community service events, 5) Decrease TAY's justice system involvement, 6) Increase TAY's academic progress, 7) Build TAY's social-emotional learning, 8) Mobilize non-traditionally engaged institutions to support TAY at risk of justice system involvement, and 9) Improve effectiveness of justice and education system practices.

Results: For the 135 youth served in FY24, FLY - STAY offered nine (9) prosocial and two (2) community service events. 34 youth attended at least one prosocial event and 13 attended two or more. 33 hours of teacher training were provided across two (2) training events.

| Table 5. FLY – STAY Outputs	*n* = 135
NUMBER OF SESSIONS	
# TAY who Completed 3 or Fewer Sessions	116
# Hours of Teacher Trainings Provided	33
# Teacher Trainings	2
CASE MANAGEMENT/COACHING	
Average # Case Management/Coaching Hours Provided to TAY Individuals	8
# Prosocial Events Offered	9
# TAY who Attended at Least One Prosocial Event	34
# TAY who Attended Two or More Prosocial Events	13
# Community Service Events Offered	2
# TAY who Attended at Least One Community Service Event	6

RYSE Center

Service Area: Juvenile Reentry Services & Case Management

Program description and population served: Provides Juvenile Reentry Services in West Contra Costa County and serves up to 20 – 25 youth at any given time who have been, or will soon be, released from custody.

Total youth reported served FY24: 23 youth

Activities: 1) Creation of Let's Get Free (LGF) plan goals; 2) Plan, support check-in and reentry meetings; 3) Individual Counseling/therapy & Groups; and 4) Referrals to needed services.

Results: In FY24, RYSE provided additional data regarding youth experiences in the program and program outcomes, allowing for a more robust data summary. As can be seen in **Figure 8**, RYSE created LGF's for all youth (n=23), representing an increase of 90% from FY22-23. In addition, all youth engaged in supplemental services. Seven of the youth were also referred to external services.

Figure 8. RYSE Outputs (*n* = 23)

RYSE internal service outputs were varied and based on individual youth needs. Youth were eligible to receive services multiple times, as seen in **Figure 9**. Services ranged from supporting basic needs (e.g., transportation, housing, fiscal) to enhancing professional development (e.g., providing education, employment, and art/leadership programming), and assisting youth with navigating court requirements. Seventy-six (76) youth received housing, transportation, or financial assistance while 58 received educational, employment, and art-related support.

Finally, the types of external referrals that youth received from RYSE are outlined in **Figure 10.** Twelve (12) youth received transportation and housing referrals, and 16 youth received employment or educational referrals. Ten (10) youth received legal or

medical/mental health/substance use referrals. The youth represented here are not distinct youth, meaning the same youth could have benefitted two or more of these referrals.

Figure 9. RYSE Outputs: Internal Services (_n_ = 23)

Service	Count
Youths Receiving Transportation	24
Youths Receiving Group Supports	24
Youths Receiving Housing/Shelter	14
Youths Receiving Relocation Services	3
Youths Receiving Educational Advocacy	15
Youths Receiving Employment Support	19
Youths Receiving Financial Skillbuilding	10
Youths Receiving Court Accompaniment	10
Youths Receiving Counseling/Therapy Sessions	12
Youths Receiving Arts and Leadership Programming	24
Youths Receiving Direct Financial Aid/Emergency Funds	35
Youths Receiving Benefits/Documentation Applications	8

Figure 10. RYSE Outputs: Referrals to External Services (_n_ = 23)

Service	Count
Youths Receiving Legal Referrals	3
Youths Receiving Housing Referrals	4
Youths Receiving Transportation Referrals	8
Youths Receiving Educational Referrals	2
Youths Receiving Medical/Mental Health/Substance Use Referrals	7
Youths Receiving Employment Referrals	7

RYSE also reported on participant outcomes. First in **Figure 11,** 13 youth completed initial transitional services, three (3) successfully completed the transition and reentry support program and only three (3) youth recidivated while in the program. Four of the 23 remaining youth were receiving services at the time of data reporting and their outcomes will be reported in next year's annual data summary.

Figure 12, youth reported impacts show that nearly 100% of all youth reported positive outcomes across all indicators, except one, (i.e., only a fifth of youth felt they had an increased or stronger sense of civic engagement).

Figure 11. RYSE Outcomes (*n* = 23)

- Youths who Completed Initial Transition Services
- Successfully Completing the Transition and Reentry Support Programming
- Youths Recidivating while in Program

Figure 12. RYSE Outcomes (*n* = 23)

Participants Reporting Mental Health and Wellness Benefits of Program	98%
Youths Reporting Increased Youth-Adult Relationships	98%
Youths Reporting Increased and/or Positive Peer Relationships	96%
Participants Reporting Increased and/or Strong Sense of Civic Engagement	21%
Participants Reporting Increased and/or Strong Sence of Self-Efficacy	93%
Youths Reporting Progress on LGF Plan Goals	87%

Seneca Family of Agencies

Service Area: Wraparound Supports for High-Need Youth & Families

Program description and population served: Provide services for up to five (5) youth and their families under the age of 18 who have been involved in the juvenile probation system, are stepping down to a less restrictive setting, or are at risk of placement in a residential setting.

Total youth reported served FY24: 22 youth

Activities: 1) Provide youth with Legal Counsel; 2) Refer youth to Youth Support Specialist for Case Management; 3) Refer youth to external services; 4) Create Family Action Plans; 6) Provide follow-up engagements; and 7) Conduct team coordination meetings.

Results: In FY24, Seneca served slightly (n = 10) fewer youth than in the previous fiscal year. However, serving fewer enrolled youth allowed Seneca staff to increase the quantity of services provided. In **Table 6**, Seneca has provided a breakdown of the hours of service and the number of times youth engaged in the variety of services offered. Notably, they provided 67 more hours of individual rehabilitation than in FY22-23. In addition, they provided around 39 more hours of case management services than in FY22-23. Participant engagement outcomes remained stable between FY22-23 and FY23-24.

Table 6. Seneca - Probation Wraparound Outputs	$n = 22$
HOURS OF SERVICE	
Hours Individual Rehab Provided	161
Hours Collateral Services with Caregivers Provided	12
Hours of Case Management to Coordinate Services Provided to Families	87
PARTICIPANT ENGAGEMENT	
CFT Meetings Provided	16
Individuals in Support Network at Intake	30
24/7 Wrap Support Line Stabilization Encounters Provided	2
Comprehensive Wrap Fidelity Care Plan Created	16
Wrap Fidelity Indices (WFI) Completed	3

Seneca also reported on youth outcomes. In **Figure 13**, self-reported youth outcomes from the Child and Adolescent, Needs and Strengths (CANS) assessment, the Wraparound Fidelity Index – Short Form, and an exit survey are shown. Significantly, Seneca was able to provide new data regarding the number of individuals in the support network at exit and the number of youth who made partial or full progress toward their exit goals. Additionally, Seneca provided more information about the youth level of care, specifically whether youth had the same or a lower level of care need at exit. These results indicate Seneca's dedication to providing more robust data summaries.

Figure 13. Seneca Outcomes: CANS, WFI-EZ, & Exit Survey (n = 22)

STAND!'s Youth Education Support Services (YESS)

Service Area: Violence Prevention, Education, and Outreach

Program description and population served: YESS is a prevention and intervention program focused on providing services to youth in East and West Contra Costa County. YESS offers services to decrease and prevent teen dating violence (TDV), build conflict resolution and leadership skills, and develop a shared understanding of healthy relationships and gender roles. JJPCA funds are used to continue and expand YESS services—education, prevention and early intervention services that support middle school and high school students with navigating healthy relationships.

Total youth reported served FY24: 370 youth

Activities: 1) Provide "You Never Win with Violence" (YNWWV) workshops: 2) Provide Gender Specific Psychoeducational Support Groups; 3) Provide Youth Against Violence Leadership Program; 4) Administer youth surveys related to workshops/groups; 5) Administer teacher surveys related to workshops/groups; 6) Provide Individual Student Interventions; 7) Provide Teacher Trainings.

Results: In FY24, YESS saw a significant increase in their gender specific psycho-educational support group. While only 65 youth in the gender specific psycho-educational support group completed the survey in FY23, 313 completed the survey in FY24. Additionally, 178 support groups were offered in FY24 which is a significant increase from the 41 groups offered in FY23. There was also an increase in the number of schools served, going from 11 schools in FY22-23 to 13 schools in FY 23-24 for the gender-specific support groups, as seen in **Table 7**. Moreover, there were increases in the Youth Against Violence Leadership Program with 56 youth participating in FY24, up from 26 in FY23.

Table 7. STAND! Program Outcomes	*n* = 370
YNWWV SCHOOL-BASED WORKSHOPS	
# Students Served	370
# Schools Served	4
# Workshops Offered	15
GENDER SPECIFIC PSYCHO-EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT GROUPS	
# Students who Completed Survey	313
# Students Served	245
# Support Groups Offered	178
# Schools Served	13
YOUTH AGAINST VIOLENCE LEADERSHIP PROGRAM	
# Students Participating	56
# Hours Volunteered	24
# Provided Transportation	14
# Outings Provided	11
INDIVIDUAL STUDENT INTERVENTIONS	
# Interventions Provided	61
# Youth Served	30
# Schools Served	12

New to the Data Summary report for FY24 is the STAND! Training Outputs, **Table 8**, and the STAND! Outputs for Community Outreach, Awareness and Referrals Services, **Figure 14.** 52 teachers and 15 parents or guardians were trained over 23 hours. STAND! held 12 events for nine (9) schools and referred 16 youth to internal services plus one (1) to external services.

Table 8. STAND! Training Outputs	*n* = 67
TEACHER TRAININGS	
# Adults Served	52
# Hours of Teacher Trainings Provided	22
# Teacher Trainings	18
PARENT TRAININGS	
# Adult Parents Served	15
# Hours of Parent Trainings Provided	1
# Parent Trainings	1

Figure 14. STAND! Outcomes for Community Outreach & Awareness, & Referral Services (*n* = 17)

Finally, as can be seen in **Figure 15** where the self-reported data from youth on program impact is shared and **Table 9** where youth accomplishments are shared, 79% of youth reported increased knowledge levels and 83% reported increased positive attitudes and beliefs. 114 participants attended speaking events or activities where 32 youth demonstrated leadership, and 20 safety plans were developed. Lastly, students were provided with 27 resources, and two (2) campaigns or projects were developed throughout FY24.

Figure 15. STAND! Support Group Outcomes (*n* = 21)

- Youth Reporting Gains in Knowledge Level — 79%
- Youth Reporting Gains in Attitudes and Beliefs — 83%

Table 9. STAND! Outcomes	*n* = 370
YOUTH AGAINST VIOLENCE (YAV)	
Participants Reached through Activities/Speaking Events	114
Activities Completed where Youth Demonstrate Leadership	32
Speaking Events Completed	9
Campaigns/Projects Developed	2
INDIVIDUAL STUDENT INTERVENTION	
Supports/Services Provided during Intervention	63
Resources Provided to Students	27
Safety Plans Developed	20

Youth Early Intervention Partnership (YEIP)

Service Area: Diversion

Program description and population served: The YEIP team provides holistic legal services for youth who are at risk of formal youth justice system involvement but, at the time of initial contact with the YEIP attorney, have not been charged with a crime.

Total youth reported served FY24: 38 youth

Activities: 1) Refer youth to Client Support Specialist; 3) Refer youth to Youth Support Services (YSS) Case Management; 4) Provide case closing check-ins; 5) Provide follow-up engagements; and 6) Conduct team coordination meetings

Results: In FY24, YEIP provided services to 38 youth. It should be noted that, while the number of youth served in FY24 (38) decreased significantly from FY23 (237), YEIP provided increased case management services to youth and worked more intently with the smaller number of youth served. As seen in **Table 10**, this

Table 10. YEIP Outputs	*n* = 38
YOUTH REFERRALS	
Youth Referred to YSS Case Management	20
Youth Referred to Client Support Specialist	15
STAFF OUTPUTS	
Follow-Up Engagements Provided	38
Case Closing Check-Ins Completed	35
Team Coordination Meetings Conducted	12

included both referrals for external services and internal service provision. Twenty (20) youth were referred to YSS case management and 15 were referred to a Client Support Specialist. Thirty-five (35) case closing check-ins and 38 follow-up engagements were provided. Lastly, 12 team coordination meetings were conducted.

Figures 16 and **17** provide a look at how well youth are doing in the YEIP program. **Figure 16** shows that 41 caregivers or families were engaged in support services. Additionally, all youth in the program reported maintaining or improving school attendance and reducing concerning behavior. Meanwhile, **Figure 17** indicates nearly 100% of youth reported developing coping skills that reduce future risk. Lastly, 98% of youth were diverted from the formal court process, 94% improved their relationship with their family or caregiver, and 94% are on track to or have already successfully graduated.

## Figure 16. YEIP Outcomes (*n* = 38)

Figure 17. YEIP Outcomes

Final Thoughts

The JJCPA/YOBG funded programs provided services in the following categories:

- Civil legal aid
- Educational support
- Reentry case management
- Violence prevention, education, and outreach
- Diversion programming
- Substance use services
- Law related education
- Coaching/Peer support

Many of the programs showed increases in service provision, from providing more case management and individualized planning meetings to engaging more participants in prosocial activities and events. While some programs saw a decrease in participation, data reporting and tracking across all programs improved with some programs providing more robust and consistent datasets.

Each program has been funded to provide a different service and, therefore, it is important not to compare outputs and outcomes across programs. Rather, this report demonstrates the unique aspects of each program. Though each program has different processes and objectives, the overall goal is the same: to support at-risk and justice-involved youth.