

APPLICATION TO FILE LATE CLAIM

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

BOARD ACTION: January 20, 2026

NOTICE TO CLAIMANT

Application to File Late Claim Against the County of Contra Costa, Routing Endorsements, and Board Action. All Section references are to California Government Code.

The copy of this document mailed to you is your notice of the action taken on your application by the Board of Supervisors (Paragraph III below), given Pursuant to Government Code Sections 911.8 and 915.4. Please

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2025

AMOUNT: Over \$10,00.00

CLAIMANT: Anthony Myers, Sr., Conservator for Anthony Myers Jr.

COUNTY COUNSEL

ATTORNEY: Terry R. Leoni

BY DELIVERY TO CLERK ON:

MARTINEZ, CALIF. 12/19/2025

ADDRESS: 2950 Buskirk Ave., Ste. 300

BY MAIL POSTMARKED:

Walnut Creek, CA 94597

I. FROM: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

TO: County Counsel

Attached is a copy of the above-noted application to File Late Claim.

Dated: December 19, 2025

By: [Signature], Deputy

II. FROM: County Counsel

TO: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

The Board should grant this Application to File Late Claim (Section 911.6)

[Checked] The Board should deny this Application to File Late Claim (Section 911.6)

Dated: 12-30-25 THOMAS GEIGER, County Counsel By:

[Signature], Deputy

III. BOARD ORDER:

By unanimous vote of the Supervisors present:

(Check only one)

This application is granted (Section 911.6)

[Checked] This application to File Late Claim is denied (Section 911.6)

I certify that this is a true and correct copy of the Board's Order entered in its minutes for this date.

Dated: 1/20/26 MONICA NINO, Clerk, By:

[Signature], Deputy

WARNING (Gov. Code section 911.8)

If you wish to file a court action on this matter, you must first petition the appropriate court for an order relieving you from the provisions of Government Code Section 945.4 (Claims presentation requirement). See Government Code Section 946.6. Such petition must be filed with the court within six (6) months from the date your application for leave to present a late claim was denied.

You may seek the advice of an attorney of your choice in connection with this matter. If you want to consult an attorney, you should do so immediately.

IV. FROM: Clerk of the Board

TO: (1) County Counsel

(2) County Administrator

Attached are copies of the above Application. We notified the applicant of the Board's action on this Application by mailing a copy of this document, and a memo thereof has been filed and endorsed on the Board's copy of this Claim in accordance with Section 29703.

Dated: 1/20/26 MONICA NINO, By:

[Signature], Deputy

FROM: (1) County Counsel

(2) County Administrator

TO: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Received copies of the Application and Board Order.

Dated: _____

County Counsel, By: _____

County Administrator, By: _____

APPLICATION TO FILE LATE CLAIM

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

BOARD ACTION: January 20, 2026

NOTICE TO CLAIMANT

Application to File Late Claim Against the County of Contra Costa, Routing Endorsements, and Board Action. All Section references are to California Government Code.

The copy of this document mailed to you is your notice of the action taken on your application by the Board of Supervisors (Paragraph III below), given Pursuant to Government Code Sections 911.8 and 915.4. Please read the attached document.

RECEIVED

DEC 19 2025

AMOUNT: Over \$10,00.00

CLAIMANT: Anthony Myers, Sr., Conservator for Anthony Myers Jr.

COUNTY COUNSEL MARTINEZ, CALIF. 12/19/2025

ATTORNEY: Terry R. Leoni

BY DELIVERY TO CLERK ON:

ADDRESS: 2950 Buskirk Ave., Ste. 300

BY MAIL POSTMARKED:

Walnut Creek, CA 94597

I. FROM: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

TO: County Counsel

Attached is a copy of the above-noted application to File Late Claim.

Dated: December 19, 2025

By: [Signature], Deputy

II. FROM: County Counsel

TO: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

The Board should grant this Application to File Late Claim (Section 911.6)

[Checked] The Board should deny this Application to File Late Claim (Section 911.6)

Dated: 12-30-25 THOMAS GEIGER, County Counsel By:

[Signature], Deputy

III. BOARD ORDER:

By unanimous vote of the Supervisors present:

(Check only one)

This application is granted (Section 911.6)

This application to File Late Claim is denied (Section 911.6)

I certify that this is a true and correct copy of the Board's Order entered in its minutes for this date.

Dated: MONICA NINO, Clerk, By: , Deputy

WARNING (Gov. Code section 911.8)

If you wish to file a court action on this matter, you must first petition the appropriate court for an order relieving you from the provisions of Government Code Section 945.4 (Claims presentation requirement). See Government Code Section 946.6. Such petition must be filed with the court within six (6) months from the date your application for leave to present a late claim was denied.

You may seek the advice of an attorney of your choice in connection with this matter. If you want to consult an attorney, you should do so immediately.

IV. FROM: Clerk of the Board

TO: (1) County Counsel

(2) County Administrator

Attached are copies of the above Application. We notified the applicant of the Board's action on this Application by mailing a copy of this document, and a memo thereof has been filed and endorsed on the Board's copy of this Claim in accordance with Section 29703.

Dated: MONICA NINO, By: , Deputy

FROM: (1) County Counsel

(2) County Administrator

TO: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Received copies of the Application and Board Order.

Dated: County Counsel, By: County Administrator, By:



Terry R. Leoni
tleoni@LeoniLawFirm.com

December 18, 2025

VIA U.S. MAIL AND EMAIL [county.administrator@cao.eccounty.us]

Monica Nino
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
County Administration Building
1025 Escobar Street, 1st Floor
Martinez, CA 94553



**Re: Anthony Myers, Junior Application for Late Filed Government Tort Claim
Due to Incapacity
(Gov. Code section 911.4, 911.6)**

Dear Ms. Nino:

On or about December 10, 2025, this Firm received correspondence from you, signed by a “Deputy Clerk”, notifying this Firm that the Government Tort Claim for Anthony Myers, Junior, filed by Conservator Anthony Myers, Senior, was returned as it was alleged to have been filed outside of the six-month period. This letter is presented pursuant to Government Code sections 911.4, 911.6 for leave to present the claim outside of the purported six-month statute of limitation.

Accordingly, by and through counsel and as the Conservator for Anthony Myers, Junior, Anthony Myers, Senior does state and request:

1. Applicant respectfully seeks leave to present a late claim against Contra Costa County pursuant to Government Code §§ 911.4–911.6, on the ground that Claimant was incapacitated during the statutory claim period and therefore unable to comply with the filing deadline.
2. On November 21, 2025, Claimant filed the attached Government Tort Claim.
3. On December 5, 2025, you denied the Government Tort Claim purportedly because it was late.
4. On December 5, 2025 that denial was signed and dated by a Deputy Clerk, Boyd; however, the attached Certificate of Mailing was dated and signed “November 5, 2025” by Deputy Clerk, Boyd.

Monica Nino

Re: Anthony Myers, Junior Application for Late Filed Government Tort Claim Due to Incapacity

December 18, 2025

Page | 2

5. On December 10, 2025, we received the denial and return of the attached Government Tort Claim.

6. Claimant has not presented this claim earlier because of his **Incapacity**:

- Any deadlines and statute of limitations are/were tolled due to Anthony Myers, Junior being incapacitated during the harms at issue. (See Gov. Code § 911.6(b)(4), (5); see also *Hernandez v. Co. of L.A.* (1986) 42 Cal. 3d 1020.) Under Gov. Code § 911.6(b)(4), the Board “**shall** grant the application of one or more” of the enumerated exceptions exists. (Gov. Code § 911.6.)
 - Government Code § 911.6(b)(4) mandates that Board grant an application for late filing if, “[t]he person who sustained the alleged injury, damage, or loss was physically or mentally incapacitated during all of the time specified in Section 911.2 for the presentation of the claim and by reason of that disability failed to present a claim during that time.” Likewise, Government Code § 911.6(b)(5) mandates that Board grant an application for late filing if, “(5) The person who sustained the alleged injury, damage, or loss was physically or mentally incapacitated during any of the time specified in Section 911.2 for the presentation of the claim and by reason of that disability failed to present a claim during that time, provided the application is presented within six months of the person no longer being physically or mentally incapacitated, or a year after the claim accrues, whichever occurs first.”
 - Claimant Anthony Myers, Junior’s incapacitation existed during the entirety of unlawful acts and omissions committed against him by the County, its employees, and its agents and during the entirety of the statutory claim period.
 - Claimant’s incapacity rendered him unable to understand the harms against him. (See Probate Code §§ 810-813; see also Penal Code section 368).
 - Claimant’s incapacity is documented by the attached duly signed Conservatorship Order of the Contra Costa County Superior Court and confidential, privileged medical records, and other relevant evidence, including statements by friends and family.
 - Claimant’s Conservatorship was granted by the Honorable Barbara Hinton, Contra Costa County Superior Court, Department 38 on July 28, 2025, as evidenced by the attached Order.
6. Applicant acted diligently upon appointment of a Conservator and within the six-month period.
7. No prejudice will result to the public entity from permitting the late claim, as the entity had notice of the incident and opportunity to investigate it fully and completely. More,

Monica Nino

Re: Anthony Myers, Junior Application for Late Filed Government Tort Claim Due to
Incapacity

December 18, 2025

Page | 3

the County was aware of Applicant's limited cognitive functioning and disabilities at the time Applicant was hired by the County on August 16, 2021.

WHEREFORE, applicant respectfully requests that Contra Costa County grant leave to present the attached late claim, and that such claim be deemed timely filed pursuant to Gov. Code §§ 911.4–911.6.

Sincerely,



Terry R. Leoni



Terry R. Leoni
tleoni@LeoniLawFirm.com

November 21, 2025

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
County Administration Building
1025 Escobar Street, 1st Floor
Martinez, CA 94553

**Re: Anthony Myers, Junior Attachment to the Government Tort Claim Against
Contra Costa County et. al.**

To Whom It May Concern:

Please accept this attachment to Claim Against the Contra Costa County form.

Claimant's Contact Information

Anthony Myers, Junior
c/o Terry R. Leoni
Leoni Law
2950 Buskirk Ave, Ste 300
Walnut Creek, CA 94597
T: 833.546.6771
E: tleoni@leonilawfirm.com

General Description of the Events

Mr. Anthony Myers, Junior (“Tony”) is a 31-year-old man with intellectual disabilities, resulting in the mental age of a 10-year-old. Mr. Myers was hired by the Contra Costa County as an Institutional service worker in August 2021, after having disclosed his disability. Furthermore, prior to the start of Tony’s first shift, his father, Mr. Anthony Myers, Sr. held a meeting with the Health Services Department in which he explained Mr. Myers’ disabilities, vulnerabilities, his mental age, and explained his difficulty with social situations. Mr. Myers’ supervisor, Mr. Humberto (“Eddie”) Perez, and his coworker, Ms. Sandra Cazaresordaz, were in attendance at this meeting.

Beginning shortly after he was hired by the County, Mr. Myers was subjected to financial abuse, manipulation, isolation, and other predatory behavior by his direct supervisor Perez and Ms. Cazaresordaz. This abuse continued until Mr. Myers ultimately went on medical leave due to the physical and psychological damage resulting from the acts and omissions of the County and its and employees on April 18, 2025.

Tony has not returned to work, and it is unlikely that his medical providers will clear him physically or mentally to return to work. Far worse, it is unclear if, due to trust issue and other lingering and likely lifelong damages, if he will be able to work in the near—or distant—future.

Perez First Preys on Tony By Isolation, Control, and Retaliation

Soon after Tony's start with CCCHS, Perez began to groom Tony. As the County is aware, grooming is a manipulative behavior used to gain trust and control—often by someone seeking to exploit or abuse the individual. It can involve emotional, psychological, or physical tactics, and people with disabilities may be especially vulnerable due to dependency, isolation, or communication challenges. Abusers often build trust and emotional connection, desensitize boundaries, create dependency, and require secrecy. They generally present themselves as trustworthy caregivers to gain access, often shower praise or attention to build loyalty and reliance, discourage outside relationships as a way to isolate, and create confusion or fear, especially if the person struggles to understand social cues or recognize inappropriate behavior. The County should be fully aware of these issues as an employer of people with intellectual disabilities and required internal training on mandatory reporting.

Perez began by isolating Tony from his family, friends, and co-workers -- first as a friend, then by fear, control, isolation, and intimidation. Initially, Perez befriended Tony, and became a confidant. Among other things, he first told Tony things like, "You can trust me because I'll be like a dad to you."

Once Perez gained Tony's trust, he began to work to isolate Tony from those who would protect him as predators do. Similarly, Perez psychologically manipulated Tony by sowing distrust with his father and family and suggesting others are not trustworthy, such as by stating that they did not have his best interests at heart by requiring Tony to live with them or discouraging Tony from dating. Perez repeatedly encouraged and pressured Tony to move away from his parents' home and get his own place to live; likely, so he would have full access and control. Perez would also tell Tony that his **actual father was holding him back and that he had been lying to him about his disability.**

Not surprising, Perez would implore Tony to keep their interactions a secret, specifically hiding things from his father.

Perez made Tony increasingly dependent on Perez for the "truth", both at work and in social interactions. He tried to create a wedge between Tony and some employees who demonstrated care, affection, and protection toward Tony. Perez intentionally and knowingly creating suspicion and emotional distance from those who cared for Tony. He also monopolized Tony by demanding excessive attention and time, leaving little room for outside connections or support systems.

Perez reinforced the isolation and control with threats and retaliation. He would frequently tell Tony that Perez was the only reason Tony had a job and all promotional opportunities were at Perez's discretion. Perez would also imply, if not overtly state, that seeking help or speaking out, or maintaining outside relationships would lead to punishment, job loss, or social ostracization. Tony was also aware Perez constantly watching surveillance cameras from his office and from his phone to keep tabs on his employee, and discouraged employees from socializing and discussing issues and work matters.

As a result, Tony trusted Perez and unwittingly became dependent on him, which opened Tony up to years of financial, physical, and psychological abuse.

Perez Turns to Financial Abuse of Tony, Resulting In Thousands of Dollars of Theft of Tony's Hard-Earned Money and County Funds

Initially, Perez's predatory behavior started with small things, like requiring Tony to perform tasks outside of his job duties; Tony's position involves a particular set of duties in defined area. This sort of repetitive, predictable workload is ideal for someone with Tony's disabilities. Perez "encouraged" Tony to perform others specialist duties with false flattery or fear, including cleaning and buffing the floors daily. In doing so, Tony was performing the work of multiple people and working out of class as a specialist, while only receiving the pay and benefits of an Institutional Services Worker. As a "reward" and carrot, Perez repeatedly promised Tony that he would make him a specialist if he continued performing out-of-class work. Of course, to date, that has not occurred.

Perez also pressured Tony into performing personal tasks for him during Tony's workday. These tasks included working on Perez's car, acting like Perez's personal chauffeur, running errands for Perez, and generally being at Perez's beck and call to perform any task Perez directed him to do. Perez often required these tasks to be performed during work hours, which included leaving the worksite. Perez expected and required Tony to complete his work despite having less time to do so or, alternatively, Tony would have to work after hours or without breaks to complete with work, and do so without payment.

Next, Perez moved to theft of Tony's personal funds, instead of unpaid wages and unpaid tasks. Perez would frequently complain about his overdue bills, inability to pay his mortgage, and inability to pay even necessary expenses. Perez then began pressuring Tony to "lend" him money so he could "survive". Perez frequently forced Tony to visit ATMs, requiring him to withdraw cash and immediately surrender it to Perez. He further placed Tony at risk by forcing him to drive alone to ATMs late at night in unsafe areas.

Despite Perez's repeated promises to repay the stolen funds, repayment was rare, and when it did occur, it came only after Tony endured considerable stress and persistent pleading for repayment.

Perez also turned to theft of county funds in an attempt to "repay" the money stolen from Tony. Perez's scheme was simple and one he appeared familiar with: rather than applying Tony's accrued sick leave after Tony called in sick, Perez falsified Tony's timecard to reflect that Tony worked instead of using sick time. In his gut, Tony knew that this was wrong and worried deeply about this, but he capitulated because he felt intimidated by Perez and feared retaliation if he spoke up or refused to go along with the scheme.

In fact, Tony often complied with the repeated demands for money and other demands due to fear of retaliation and any effects on his job. Tony was aware of other employees who complained about issues with Perez were subsequently transferred to less desirable locations. Tony was so afraid of retaliation, including being transferred to other types of environments where he could thrive, so he was terrified to upset Perez or to speak up.

Ultimately, Perez stole thousands in unpaid hours and personal funds from Tony for years, most of which was never repaid or “repaid” via unlawful acts perpetrated on the County.

Other Unlawful Actions and Omissions

In addition to the above, the County and/or county employees committed the following unlawful actions for which the County is liable:

- retaliating against Tony for attempts to speak up and disclose abuse, underemployment, manipulation, and theft;
- Perez encouraging and permitting his subordinate to psychologically and financially abuse Tony;
- Sandra Cazaresordaz did, in fact, psychologically and financially abuse Tony;
- Perez retaliating against Tony for reporting his temporary classification, rather than permanent classification;
- retaliation against Tony for reporting classification concerns to HR;
- retaliating against Tony and Anthony Myers, a current employee, for reporting misclassification;
- County agents and employees violating the mandatory duty to report dependent adult abuse;
- failing to train employees in reporting dependent adult abuse;
- failing to properly hire, train, and supervise employees, including supervisors, who work with and supervise Tony, an employee with an intellectual disability;
- permitting a supervisor to intentionally misclassify and stunt employment progression in order to permit continued financial and psychological abuse;
- failing to investigate promptly, or at all, complaints of harassment, discrimination, and/or retaliation against Perez by Tony and/or others;

- failing to investigate promptly, or at all, complaints of criminal conduct by Perez, including by this law firm in June of this year;
- failing to provide supervision and oversight of a dependent adult with disabilities;
- the County and its employees engaged in oppressive, fraudulent, and/or malicious conduct in their actions and omissions against Tony.

The County and its employees violated at least the following tort claims; however, Complainant is not limited to said tort claims given the factual allegations contained herein:

- Elder Abuse and Dependent Adult Civil Protection Act;
- Harassment based on Tony's Disability in violation of FEHA;
- Discrimination based on Tony's Disability in violation of FEHA;
- Retaliation based on Tony's Disability in violation of FEHA;
- Failure to Prevent Harassment, Discrimination, and Retaliation in violation of FEHA;
- Labor Code 1102.5;
- Labor Code 98.6;
- Labor Code 232.5;
- Gross Negligence and Negligent Supervision, Retention, and Training;
- Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress; and,
- Punitive damages.

General Description of the Injuries

Mr. Myers has sustained, and continues to sustain, **economic damages** in the form of lost wages, lost earning capacity, lost future wages, medical expenses, lost retirement and other benefits, among other things.

Again, it is unclear if, due to trust issue and other lingering and likely lifelong mental and physical damages, if Tony will be able to work in the near—or distant—future.

Mr. Myers has sustained, and continues to sustain, **non-economic damages** in form of ongoing pain and suffering, emotional distress, loss of enjoyment of life, among other things.

Mr. Myers intends to seek **punitive damages** against individual County Defendants, of which the County will be liable due to the predators' actions while on duty and based on the scope of their employment.

Mr. Myers intends to seek **attorneys' fees and costs**, and ongoing and **accruing interest**.

Employees Causing Injuries

Mr. Myers is currently unaware of all parties who have caused injuries and will proceed under Jane and John Doe. However, current known parties include the following:

- 1) Humberto ("Eddie") Perez
- 2) Sandra Cazaresordaz
- 3) Does 1-50

Damage Amount Claimed

The damages far exceed \$25,000.00 and will not be presented as a limited civil claim.

Sincerely,



Terry R. Leoni

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY STATE BAR NO. NAME Michelle S. Domingo (289462), Kristen Tabone (340635) FIRM NAME M.S. Domingo Law Group, P.C. STREET ADDRESS 1501 N. Broadway, Suite 360 CITY Walnut Creek STATE CA ZIP CODE 94596 TELEPHONE NO. 925-891-5006 FAX NO. E-MAIL ADDRESS mika@msdomingolawgroup.com ATTORNEY FOR (name): Anthony Myers	FOR COURT USE ONLY <div style="font-size: 48px; font-weight: bold; letter-spacing: 10px;">FILED</div> JUL 29 2025 F. LI CLERK OF THE COURT SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA By: K. Jinkerson
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA STREET ADDRESS 725 Court St. MAILING ADDRESS CITY AND ZIP CODE Martinez California 94553 BRANCH NAME	
CONSERVATORSHIP OF (name): Anthony Logan Myers CONSERVATEE	
ORDER APPOINTING <input type="checkbox"/> SUCCESSOR PROBATE CONSERVATOR OF THE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PERSON <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ESTATE <input type="checkbox"/> Limited Conservatorship	CASE NUMBER P25-00900
WARNING: THIS APPOINTMENT IS NOT EFFECTIVE UNTIL LETTERS HAVE ISSUED.	

1. The petition for appointment of successor conservator came on for hearing as follows (check boxes c, d, e, and f or g to indicate personal presence):
- a. Judicial officer (name): Hon. Barbara C. Hinton
 - b. Hearing date: July 28, 2025 Time: 1:00am Dept: 38 Room: 215
 - c. Petitioner (name): Anthony Myers
 - d. Attorney for petitioner (name): Michelle S. Domingo
 - e. Attorney for person cited the conservatee on petition to appoint successor conservator
 (Name): OLIVER ALEXANDER GREENWOOD (Telephone): (925) 957-1030
 (Address): 367 Civic Dr, Suite 11, Pleasant Hill, CA. 94523
 - f. Person cited was present. unable to attend. able but unwilling to attend. out of state.
 - g. The conservatee on petition to appoint successor conservator was present. not present.

THE COURT FINDS

- 2. All notices required by law have been given.
- 3. Granting the conservatorship is the least restrictive alternative needed for the protection of the conservatee.
- 4. (Name): Anthony Logan Myers
 - a. is unable properly to provide for his or her personal needs for physical health, food, clothing, or shelter.
 - b. is substantially unable to manage his or her financial resources or to resist fraud or undue influence.
 - c. has voluntarily requested appointment of a conservator and good cause has been shown for the appointment.
- 5. The conservatee
 - a. is an adult.
 - b. will be an adult on the effective date of this order.
 - c. is a married minor.
 - d. is a minor whose marriage has been dissolved.
- 6. There is no form of medical treatment for which the conservatee has the capacity to give an informed consent.
 The conservatee is an adherent of a religion defined in Probate Code section 2355(b).
- 7. Granting the successor conservator powers to be exercised independently under Probate Code section 2590 is to the advantage and benefit and in the best interest of the conservatorship estate.
- 8. The conservatee cannot communicate, with or without reasonable accommodations, a desire to participate in the voting process.

Do NOT use this form for a temporary conservatorship.

CONSERVATORSHIP OF (name):	Anthony Logan Myers CONSERVATEE	CASE NUMBER P25-00900
-------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------------------------

9. The conservatee has dementia as defined in Probate Code section 2366.5, and the court finds all other facts required to make the orders specified in item 28.
10. Attorney (name): OLIVER ALEXANDER GREENWOOD ^{es} has been appointed by the court as legal counsel to represent the conservatee in these proceedings. The cost for representation is: \$
The conservatee has the ability to pay all none a portion of this sum (specify): \$
11. The conservatee need not attend the hearing.
12. The appointed court investigator is (name): COURT INVESTIGATIONS UNIT ^{es}
(Address and telephone):
13. (For limited conservatorship only) The limited conservatee is developmentally disabled as defined in Probate Code section 1420.
14. The successor conservator is a professional fiduciary as defined by Business and Professions Code section 6501(f).
15. The successor conservator holds a valid, unexpired, unsuspended license as a professional fiduciary issued by the Professional Fiduciaries Bureau of the California Department of Consumer Affairs under chapter 6 (commencing with section 6500) of division 3 of the Business and Professions Code.
License no.: Issuance or last renewal date: Expiration date:
16. (Either a, b, or c must be checked):
a. The successor conservator is not the spouse of the conservatee.
b. The successor conservator is the spouse of the conservatee and is not a party to an action or proceeding against the conservatee for legal separation, dissolution, annulment, or adjudication of nullity of their marriage.
c. The successor conservator is the spouse of the conservatee and is a party to an action or proceeding against the conservatee for legal separation, dissolution, annulment, or adjudication of nullity of their marriage.
It is in the best interest of the conservatee to appoint the spouse as successor conservator.
17. (Either a, b, or c must be checked):
a. The successor conservator is not the domestic partner or former domestic partner of the conservatee.
b. The successor conservator is the domestic partner of the conservatee and has neither terminated nor intends to terminate their domestic partnership.
c. The successor conservator is the domestic partner or former domestic partner of the conservatee and intends to terminate or has terminated their domestic partnership. It is in the best interest of the conservatee to appoint the domestic partner or former domestic partner as successor conservator.

THE COURT ORDERS

18. a. (Name): Anthony Meyers (Telephone): REDACTED
(Address): REDACTED

is appointed successor conservator limited conservator of the PERSON of (name):
Anthony Logan Meyers and Letters of Conservatorship shall issue upon qualification.
b. (Name): Anthony Meyers (Telephone): REDACTED
(Address): REDACTED

is appointed successor conservator limited conservator of the ESTATE of (name):
Anthony Logan Meyers and Letters of Conservatorship shall issue upon qualification.

19. The conservatee need not attend the hearing.

20. a. Bond is not required.
b. Bond is fixed at: \$ 55,000.00 to be furnished by an authorized surety company or as otherwise provided by law.
c. Deposits of: \$ are ordered to be placed in a blocked account at (specify institution and location):

and receipts shall be filed. No withdrawals shall be made without a court order.
 Additional orders in attachment 20c.

CONSERVATORSHIP OF (name):	Anthony Logan Myers CONSERVATEE	CASE NUMBER: P25-00900
-------------------------------	------------------------------------	---------------------------

20. (cont.)
 d. The successor conservator is not authorized to take possession of money or any other property without a specific court order.
21. For legal services rendered, conservatee conservatee's estate shall pay the sum of: \$
 to (name):
 forthwith as follows (specify terms, including any combination of payors):

Continued in attachment 21.

22. The conservatee is disqualified from voting.
23. The conservatee lacks the capacity to give informed consent for medical treatment and the successor conservator of the person is granted the powers specified in Probate Code section 2355.
 The treatment shall be performed by an accredited practitioner of a religion as defined in Probate Code section 2355(b).
24. The successor conservator of the estate is granted authorization under Probate Code section 2590 to exercise independently the powers specified in attachment 24 subject to the conditions provided.
25. Orders relating to the capacity of the conservatee under Probate Code sections 1873 or 1901 as specified in attachment 25 are granted.
26. Orders relating to the powers and duties of the successor conservator of the person under Probate Code sections 2351-2358 as specified in attachment 26 are granted. (Do not include orders under Probate Code section 2356.5 relating to dementia.)
27. Orders relating to the conditions imposed under Probate Code section 2402 on the successor conservator of the estate as specified in attachment 27 are granted.
28. a. The successor conservator of the person is granted authority to place the conservatee in a care or nursing facility described in Probate Code section 2356.5(b).
 b. The successor conservator of the person is granted authority to authorize the administration of medications appropriate for the care and treatment of dementia described in Probate Code section 2356.5(c).
29. Other orders as specified in attachment 29 are granted.
30. The probate referee appointed is (name and address).


Nick G. Tarleton
 3527 Mt. Diablo Blvd #235, Lafayette, CA 94549
 (T) 415-956-6700 (F) 415-942-2528
 nick@tarleton.com

31. (For limited conservatorship only) Orders relating to the powers and duties of the successor limited conservator of the person under Probate Code section 2351.5 as specified in attachment 31 are granted.
32. (For limited conservatorship only) Orders relating to the powers and duties of the successor limited conservator of the estate under Probate Code section 1830(b) as specified in attachment 32 are granted.
33. (For limited conservatorship only) Orders limiting the civil and legal rights of the limited conservatee as specified in attachment 33 are granted.

34. This order is effective on the date signed date minor attains majority (specify):

35. Number of boxes checked in items 18-34: 9
 36. Number of pages attached: 1

Date: 7/29/2025


 JUDICIAL OFFICER
 SIGNATURE FOLLOWS LAST ATTACHMENT
BARBARA C. HINTON

P25-00900

ATTACHMENT 29—Orders Relating to Capacity of the Conservatee

The court finds that it is necessary and appropriate for the conservator of the person and estate to retain legal counsel on behalf of the conservatee, Mr. Anthony Logan Meyers, in order to address ongoing concerns and protect his legal rights as a vulnerable adult. Pursuant to this order, the conservator is authorized to retain legal representation on Mr. Meyer's behalf and to act in consultation with said counsel to ensure that his interests are properly represented in any legal matters or proceedings that may arise.

This order is made in recognition of Mr. Meyer's status as a developmentally disabled adult in consideration of his ongoing need for protection, advocacy, and legal support.