



APPEAL OF 10000 MORGAN TERRITORY RD– ENTRY GATE SUPPORT
COLUMNS IN FRONT SETBACK
County File #CDVR25-01012
COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION


AUGUST 13, 2025

ALLISON SEOANE, PROJECT PLANNER

CONTACT: ALLISON.SEOANE@DCD.CCCOUNTY.US 925-655-2871



General Plan



This map is a user-generated output from an internal mapping application and is intended for reference use only. Data layers that appear on this map may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable. THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION. CDSMap is maintained by Contra Costa County Department of Information Technology, County GIS. Data layers contained within the CDSMap application are provided by various Contra Costa County Departments. Please check all data inquiries to the appropriate department.

Typical Reference
PCL 9050 10000 State Interceptor Auxiliary System
October 2010, 1999.

Zoning



Map Legend

Assessment
Parcels

Zoning

ZONE_OVER

A-40 (Exclusive
Agriculture)

A-80 (Exclusive
Agriculture)


Unincorporated

Board of
Supervisors'
Districts









This map is a user-generated, static output from an internet mapping application and is intended for reference use only.
Data shown on this map may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable.
THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION.
©2024 is maintained by Contra Costa County Department of Information Technology, County GIS.
Data shown on this map is the property of Contra Costa County Department of Information Technology.
Please direct all data inquiries to the appropriate department.

Scale Reference
NAD 83, 1983 State Plane North American Datum
Datum 1983, 1983

Orthophotography



Map Legend

-  Assessment
 Parcels
 Unincorporated
 Board of
 Supervisors'
 Districts
 Maintained
 Roads

© 2012 by the author(s). All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without prior written permission from the author(s).

Special features
It is built into the main laboratory control system

Background

5

- April 7, 2005 – Code Enforcement Case BIRF05-00618 was initiated for one unpermitted electric gate, two unpermitted support columns, and two unpermitted residences.
- February 28, 2012 – County File BIRF05-00618 was closed with a lien on the subject property.
- November 18, 2024 – Land Use Permit CDLP23-00022 to legalize one existing second single-family residence with a Variance to the front and side yard setback requirements and Lot Line Adjustment CDLL23-00022 to transfer 6,500 square feet from APN: 006-110-028 to the subject property at APN: 006-110-016 was approved by the Zoning Administrator.
- February 12, 2025 - Variance application CDVR25-01012 for the review of two already constructed 8-foot support columns located in the front setback and a Tree Permit was accepted by the Department of Conservation and Development (DCD).
- April 11, 2025 – Staff informed the owner and applicant via a Notice of Intent letter that Staff would recommend denial of the Variance and Small Design Review to the Zoning Administrator (ZA) and presented the opportunity to request a public hearing. No hearing was requested.
- May 5, 2025 – Zoning Administrator denied the Variance and Small Lot Design Review and approved the Tree Permit under CDVR25-01012.
- June 26, 2025 – Michael Milani filed an appeal of the Zoning Administrator's decision on behalf of the property owner.

Project Description

The applicant requests approval of a Variance to allow a 6-foot front yard setback (where 25 feet is the minimum required) for two, 8-foot-tall columns to support an entry gate and a Tree Permit to allow work within the dripline of one code-protected tree for the installation of the mechanical equipment. The two columns and mechanical equipment were constructed without first obtaining a building permit. Approval of the Variance and Small Lot Design Review is necessary to obtain a building permit for the columns as built because at their current height they are considered structures pursuant to County Code Section 82-4.270(2), and therefore, are subject to setbacks and small lot design review. The applicant is requesting the County Planning Commission overturn the Zoning Administrator's decision to deny the Variance and Small Lot Design Review.

12000 BOWMAN BOWTIE ROAD
LANSING, MI 48221
PHONE: (313) 216-1222

ONE ENGINEER: KEVIN ANN ASSOCIATES
2001 STANLEY DRIVE, SUITE 400
CHICAGO, IL 60602
PHONE: (312) 455-2003
CONTACT: KEVIN KEVIN
AIA 2001 457 09-20-20
AIA 2001 457 09-20-20

SYSTEMS ENGINEER **WEIN AND ASSOCIATES**
2001 STEPHEN DRIVE, SUITE 400
CONCORD, CA 94520
PHONE: (925) 455-5144
CONTACT: MARK WEIN

(PROPERTY ADDRESS) 1000 NORFOLK BOUTIQUE ROAD
LAWRENCE, CA 94044

ADDITIONAL PHYSICAL NUMBER: 201-11-000

14.55 40000

PRINTING UNIT

GENERAL PLAY ORIENTATION: PE (PUBLIC) 2

LEONARD J. COHEN JOHN W. MCGUIRE, JR.

© 2007 Blackwell Publishing Ltd *Journal of Internal Medicine* 261: 391–400

2006 - 507
2007 - 507

[illegible]


LEGAL DESCRIPTION: PARCELS A, 40 34-0000 ON THE PARCELS MAP, COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FILED SEPTEMBER 11, 1997, IN BOOK 32 OF PARCELS MAPS, AT PAGE 10, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY RECORDS.

THE SITES OF ELEVATION FOR THIS PROJECT IS A 200' BY A 100' RECTANGLE LOCATED ON EAST SIDE OF MORGAN TERRITORY ROAD APPROXIMATELY 10.00 MILES SOUTH OF ITS INTERSECTION WITH MARSH CREEK ROAD, WARD COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA. ELEVATION DATA AT 200.00 FT.

COUNTY BUILDING INSPECTION OF PREVIOUSLY INSTALLED ENTRANCE GATE, ELECTRICAL INSTALLATION, KEYPAD AND KNOB BOX. INSPECTION TO INCLUDE EXISTING STONE WASHORY WALL AT GATE LOCATION.

PLANS BASED UPON A FIELD INSPECTION OF AN EXISTING
MASONRY-ENCLOSURE IRON ENTRANCE GATE. THE PURPOSE OF THE
SITE INSPECTION IS TO ASSIST THE PROPERTY OWNER TO OBTAIN A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION (CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTION) PER COUNTY
CODE VIOLATION 8805-06010

	PROPOSED LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT AREA
	BUILDING FACE
	POLE
	GAS HYDRANT
	CONCRETE
	TREE AND SHRUB LINE
	BOX
	CONCRETE
	ELECTRICAL
	FINISHED FLOOR
	SHALLOW WATER CLEAN CUT
	THICK
	PAVEMENT MARKING
	ELECTRICAL BOX
	LOW VOLTAGE ELECTRICAL CONDUIT






- ⑦ EXISTING MASONRY SECURITY WALL.
- ⑧ EXISTING MASONRY DATE COLUMN.
- ⑨ DOUBLE WROUGHT IRON DATE.
- ⑩ AUTOMATIC DATE CONTROLLER - 2 TOTAL.
- ⑪ DATE KEY PAD & KNDS BOX 1 TOTAL.
- ⑫ 4" ELECTRICAL CONDUIT.
- ⑬ ELECTRICAL CONDUIT TO MAIN SUB-PANEL LOCATED IN THE MAIN OFFICE.

BASED UPON TESTIMONY PROVIDED BY DAVID GREEN, THE LONG TERM PROPERTY OWNER, THE EXISTING MAJORITY SCREEN WALL AND COLLARS ARE CONSTRUCTED WITH REINFORCED CONCRETE SET WITH TWO REINFORCERS. THE MAJORITY WALL IS A MINIMUM OF THREE FEET IN THICKNESS AND THREE FEET IN HEIGHT WITH TWO (2) CONCRETE REINFORCERS SET WITH THE MAJORITY REBAR.

PLANS BASED UPON A FIELD INSPECTION OF AN EXISTING
MASONRY-BUILT IRON ENTRANCE GATE. THE PURPOSE OF THE
SITE INSPECTION IS TO ASSIST THE PROPERTY OWNER TO CLEAR A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION (CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTION) PER COUNTY
CODE VIOLATION 99031-00018

REVIEW COPY
SUBJECT TO REVISION
NOT FINAL





NOTES:

- ⑦ EXISTING MASONRY SECURITY WALL
- ⑧ EXISTING MASONRY GATE COLUMN
- ⑨ DOUBLE BROUGHT FOR GATE
- ⑩ AUTOMATIC GATE CONTROLLER - 2 TOTAL
- ⑪ GATE REMOTE AND ANGLE BOX



GATE DIMENSIONS



REVIEW COPY
SUBJECT TO REVISION
NOT FINAL



State Water Modeling & Reporting
Land Development Engineering
Environmental Engineering
Marine Engineering
Surveying & Mapping
Construction Methods



APR
2006 Award Type: Grant
Award: CA 94530
Phone: (925) 874-9082
Fax: (925) 874-9279
Web: www.bddstudies.com

APN: 008-110-079

AS-BUILT MASONRY GATE ENTRANCE EXHIBIT
10000 MORGAN TERRITORY ROAD

LIVERMORE

CONTRA COSTA COUNTY

NOV 6F05-00070

CALIFORNIA


DESIGNED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF

[illegible][illegible]

2



EXISTING SITE PHOTO



AERIAL SITE PHOTO

Summary of Appeal Points

As the basis for their appeal, the appellant mentioned the following concerns:

- *The Variance would not constitute a grant of special privilege because the property is located in a rural area, and many immediate neighbors have similar, large, entrance gates. The support columns do not pose health and safety concerns.*
- *The property is in a rural area and the strict application of zoning requirements would not protect the general public or visitors. The gate has been in place for decades without health or safety issues.*
- *The Variance meets the intent and purpose of the land use district because the A-40 zoning district allows for accessory structures auxiliary to single-family residences as the columns, while oversized, are still subordinate to both residences on the property.*

Staff Responses

Staff response to appeal point #1:

- There is no quality about the subject property that constitutes a limitation that the neighboring properties do not experience. The subject lot is a similar size and topography to the neighboring properties and does not limit the support columns to be either 7 feet tall and thus not subject to setbacks, or taller than 7 feet and conforming to A-40 zoning setbacks.
- The A-40 zoning district is specifically designated for low density, rural areas. Therefore, the rural setting is not a reason to allow a reduced front yard setback for the columns, and the reduced front yard setback is inconsistent with the A-40 zoning district.
- The appellant did not provide addresses so Staff may verify the legality or existence of these alleged oversized gates located in the required front setback for properties in the vicinity. Further, the approval of a variance for one property does not mean other neighboring properties in the vicinity may be subject to the same variance. Each project must meet variance findings independent of each other.

Staff Responses

Staff response to appeal point #2:

- The appellant has not provided evidence as to what special circumstance applies due to the property's size, shape, topography, location, or surroundings that deprives the subject property of rights enjoyed by others in the vicinity. The A-40 zoning district is specifically designated for low density, rural areas. Therefore, the rural setting is not a reason to allow a reduced front yard setback as required for the two columns.
- The area is relatively flat, and the entry gate is still achievable even if the columns were lowered to 7 feet, so the columns could legally be located within the front yard setback without a variance.
- The fact that the gates have been in place for 20 years without necessary permits and the property owner has never sought to legalize the gates until now, is not a finding for granting a variance.

Staff Responses

Staff response to appeal point #3:

- The property in the A-40 district is subject to the allowed uses set forth in Section 84-82 of the County Ordinance Code, which includes a detached single-family dwelling and the accessory structures and uses normally auxiliary to it. An entry gate with support columns could be consistent with the residential uses allowed in the A-40 district provided it meets the regulations set forth in County Code Section 82-4.270 that either allow for two 7-foot tall support columns that are exempt from setback requirements, or two 8-foot tall support columns that would be deemed accessory structures required to be set back 25 feet from the front property line. Because the support columns comply with neither of these requirements, the previously constructed oversized columns do not meet the intent and purpose of the A-40 district.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the County Planning Commission:

- OPEN the public hearing, RECEIVE testimony, and CLOSE the public hearing.
- DENY the appeal by Michael Milani filed on behalf of the property owner.
- FIND that the project is exempt from CEQA under Section 15061(b)(4) of the CEQA Guidelines and Section 15301(a).
- UPHOLD the Zoning Administrator's decision on County File CDVR25-01012 to deny a Variance and Small Lot Design Review to allow a 6-foot front yard setback (where 25 feet is the minimum required) for two, 8-foot-tall columns to support an entry gate and approve a Tree Permit to allow work within the dripline of one code-protected tree for the installation of the mechanical equipment for the entry gate.
- DIRECT staff to file a Notice of Exemption.



THANK YOU

Questions ?

